# A. 19 (B)

Page 146 Page 147 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 2 O. Do you understand this to mean a O. The text of the e-mail refers to 3 3 the -- well, IT says, "Need proof that lock-up is reference to the sale price that will be referenced at the bankruptcy hearing the next day? 4 2 billion. Also other unencumbered collateral in 4 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, no 5 DTCC we can pledge. Ian." 6 6 Does that e-mail relate to the project foundation. 7 we were talking about before of identifying 7 A. I can't say what that would be 8 referring to, but -- I can't say what it would be 8 additional value? 9 9 A. From the context, it suggests that it referring to. L 0 (Exhibit 218, document Bates stamped 10 does. 42628 marked for identification, as of this 11 Q. And having looked at that now, do you 11 12 have -- can you tell me why finding additional 12 13 13 value would require looking for unencumbered O. Mr. Lowitt, you have before you what we have marked as Deposition Exhibit 218. Do you 14 collateral in DTCC? 14 15 recognize the document? 115 A. I can't say why it would be in DTCC 16 rather than unencumbered collateral in aggregate. 16 A. I don't recall it specifically. 17 17 Our exercise was around unencumbered collateral Q. Have you seen it before? 1.8 whether it was in DTCC or any other depository or 1.8 A. I don't recall seeing it before. But 19 19 I see that it was sent from me to Paolo. 20 Q. In the e-mail sent from you to Paolo, 20 Q. Why were you describing this as a 21 the subject is "As matter of urgency need to get 21 matter of urgency on Friday, September 19, at 1:36 p.m. eastern time? Note that the time there 22 going on showing THAT the DTCC money is there." 22 23 23 What did you mean by the DTCC money? is GMT. 24 A. I can't recall what I would have meant A. Well, again, to the discussion we had 24 earlier, finding sources of value was an important 25 25 by that. Page 149 Page 148 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 1 exercise for us on the Friday. You can see here 2 recognize the document? 2 3 3 that the estimate of the 15c3 lock-up at that A. I don't recall the e-mail point was 2 billion. It turned out as a result of 4 specifically. 4 5 Q. It appears to be an e-mail from you to 5 the work that was done that the amount of the 6 lock-up was less than that. But it was obviously 6 Mr. McDade. 7 7 A. Yes, it does. an early estimate of it as high as \$2 billion. 8 Q. And the subject says "CLS money all 8 Q. Were you describing this as a matter 9 snarfed up by city. The 15c3 lock-up looks OK at 9 of urgency based in any part on your conversation 10 1.3 billion. Good faith not. So we are short 10 that morning with Mr. Ricci? 11 1.7 billion. The TBA and FX settlement don't A. The whole exercise of identifying 11 12 work. We did find 5 billion of exchange listed 12 additional collateral, which as we talked about 13 options which we are investigating. Ian." 13 was a result of a conversation with Bart as well 14 as with Rich Ricci, was a very important exercise 14 Can you explain to me what it is you 15 are reporting to Mr. McDade here? for us on the Friday, which is why I think I would 15 16 A. Yeah. There are a series of issues 16 have talked about it in this way. 17 obviously. Not issues, items. CLS refers to 17 O. Did you think you were at some degree 18 money that we had pledged to Citi to insure that 18 of professional risk if that project was 19 they would continue to operate as our CLS bank, so 19 unsuccessful? 20 that's the bank that performs the FX settlements 20 A. No, I don't recall feeling that. (Exhibit 219, document Bates stamped 21 on behalf of -- that was performing FX settlements 21 22 on behalf of Lehman Brothers. So we posted cash 22 138017 marked for identification, as of this

23:

24

25

23

24

date.)

Q. You have before you, Mr. Lowitt, what

we have marked as Deposition Exhibit 219. Do you

to them probably on the Thursday, and this

taken it all themselves.

suggests that they had not released it, they had

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 150

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1з

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

23

24

25

The 15c3 lock-up, so this is now saying what we think the value of the 15c3 lock-up was. So what's the excess in 15c3? Looks like at that point the estimate was 1.3 billion.

Good faith was another item of -there was an item called the good faith lock-up, which again as part of the investigation to determine sources of value, it was determined that there was no value that could be included in the transaction from the line that was called good faith lock-up. So that is suggesting that if, you know, your target is 4 billion, that we need \$1.7 billion of additional value beyond what's in the 15c3 lock-up.

And TBA and FX settlements don't work, I think what that was meaning was there was no additional sources of value in the items of TBA or FX settlement.

And then it says we did find 5 billion of exchange listed options which we are investigating.

So it was a status update for Bart on a series of things that were going on vis-a-vis our efforts to determine the extra value.

Page 151

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Q. So you're basically looking in every corner for this extra value, right?

A. We were looking in a number of places to determine -- to find extra value.

#### Q. And the target was \$4 billion?

A. Yeah. My recollection is between 3 and 4, and the math here suggests that what we were targeting was \$4 billion.

#### Q. Let me push that a little further. Rather than suggesting it, does it refresh your recollection that the target was \$4 billion?

A. My recollection is it was between 3 and 4.

#### Q. Did there come a point where the target was reached? Whether it was 3 or 4 billion dollars or something in between, did there come a point where the target was reached?

A. I think we identified -- we determined that the exchange listed options were already included as part of the business transaction, so there was no additional value there, and the additional place where we found the value was in the unencumbered collateral in the various depots.

Q. As of the writing of Exhibit 219,

the creditors' committee on the Sunday.

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL work through the weekend, and I do recall that we shared a schedule of unencumbered collateral with

Page 153

#### O. And who is the "we" who shared the schedule of unencumbered collateral with the creditors' committee on Sunday? Is that a meeting? And if so, who is at it?

A. I think -- my recollection is a schedule was delivered, so there were big thick piles of paper which included all the collateral that was included on that schedule, and that schedule was delivered to a group of people representing the creditors' committee in another room at Weil.

#### Q. Why was it delivered to the creditors' committee?

A. I can't say specifically. I assume because they wanted to review it.

#### O. Did you deliver it to the creditors' committee?

A. I don't recall being the person delivering it.

Q. Did you cause it to be delivered to -did somebody under your supervision deliver it?

Page 152

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**

where you say, "So we are 1.7 billion short," that's one time point. My question goes to what follows. Did there come a time when the target was met?

A. I mean there was a time when we had identified unencumbered collateral and 15c3 lock-up that we had some degree of confidence represented 3 to 4 billion dollars of value. Didn't know with certainty, but we believed that it did represent value of the amount we were searching for.

#### Q. Did there come a point where Barclays indicated that enough extra value had been found that it was willing to close?

A. I'm not aware of that, but the transaction went forward, so that seems to indicate it.

#### Q. When did you stop looking for additional assets?

A. I don't recall specifically when we stopped looking for additional assets.

#### Q. Do you generally recall that the search continued into the weekend?

A. I do recall that we were continuing to

Page 154 Page 155 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 2 137537 marked for identification, as of this A. My recollection is that either -- I 3 would expect that either Paolo or Robert Azerad 3 date.) 4 would have delivered it, but I can't be precise. 4. Q. Before you, Mr. Lowitt, is what we 5 5 Q. Other than thinking they had a reason have marked as Deposition Exhibit 221, an e-mail 6 6 from Bart McDade to you at the top. Have you seen they wanted to see it, do you have any 7 7 the document before? recollection of the reason this schedule was given 8 8 to the creditors' committee? A. I don't recall the document 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, asked and 9 specifically, but I have seen it as part of my 10 10 preparation. answered. 11 11 A. I don't have anything additional to Q. The earliest e-mail in the chain is 12 12 from you to McDade, September 19 at 7:12 p.m. say. 13 13 Subject, "Please send word when you are done. O. Before you, Mr. Lowitt, is what we 14 have marked as Deposition Exhibit 220, a two-page 14 Ian." 15 15 What were you referring to there? document. Have you seen the document before? 16 16 MS. HNATT: Did you say two-page A. Again, I don't have a specific 17 17 recollection, but given from the timing of it and document? 18 18 the context, it suggests that I would -- I am MR. GAFFEY: I did. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Mine is one. 19 asking Bart to say when he is completed with the 20 20 MR. GAFFEY: You know what? Let's bankruptcy hearing with the judge. 21 Q. And Mr. McDade responds, "The conclave 21 just -- I'll sort that out during a break 22 and we will come back to the document. 22 is over. We are part of BarCap," exclamation 23 23 point, "subject to documenting." MR. BERNSTEIN: OK. 24 (Exhibit 220 withdrawn) 24 Do you see that? 2.5 25 (Exhibit 221, document Bates stamped A. I do. Page 156 Page 157 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 2 we get this right. Congrats again. Ian." Q. Do you have any knowledge of any 3 3 When you refer to the documentation documenting going on after this point? 4 A. I mean, I was aware that there was 4 needing to be "very tight," are you referring to 5 documentation about 15c3 lock-up and unencumbered 5 documentation of the transaction that was 6 6 box? occurring over the weekend following the agreement 7 with the bankruptcy court, but I don't have any 7 A. The sense of it suggests that. 8 knowledge specifically of what documentation 8 O. Did you ever see any documentation 9 needed to be completed. 9 concerning the 15c3 lock-up and unencumbered box? 10 A. I didn't read through the contract, 10 Q. And did you -- I may have asked you 11 11 this before, but just so it is in this section, no. 12 12 were you involved in that documenting over the Q. Do you know if Paolo worked with 13 lawyers on putting that documentation together? 13 weekend? 14 14 A. I don't know with certainty. A. I was not. 15 15 O. Do you have any general recollection O. Did you ever see it? 16 of that? 16 A. I did not. I don't remember seeing 17 17 A. My recollection is Paolo talking with it. 18 some of the folks at Barclays about this, but I 18 O. Further up is a response from you to 19 19 don't have a specific recollection about him McDade, Berkenfeld, with a copy to Tonucci, at 20 5:52 a.m. on Saturday, September 20, and it reads, 20 talking to lawyers. Q. Do you have any recollection about him 21 "Did the court accept the 15c3 lock-up and 21 being involved in any documentation concerning the 22 unencumbered box make it through to BarCap? If 22 23 15c3 lock-up and the unencumbered box? 23 so, need to make sure documentation is very tight 24 A. I mean he was the person who knew a 24 so we can deliver on it. Should have Weil lawyers great deal about both of those two items, so I 25 work closely with Paolo on it. Obviously critical 25

Page 158 Page 159 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 2 would have expected him to be involved, but I A. Well, there was a series of questions 3 3 about whether Barclays would step into Lehman's don't have a specific knowledge of whether he was shoes vis-a-vis settlement obligations that 4 or he wasn't. 4 5 existed in the coming week, and there were 5 O. Now, apart from the search for 6 representatives from a number of different 6 additional value that we have been talking about, 7 7 organizations, including DTCC and Chase, that were give me a general idea of what your activities are 8 discussing these items with folks from Barclays, 8 on the Friday and through the weekend before the 9 9 and on occasion people would ask questions about deal was closed. 10 elements of that. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I just clarify, 11.1 11 when you say on the Friday, he has already O. And what was the area of knowledge or 12 12 expertise that you lent to that discussion? talked a lot about on the Friday. 13 13 MR. GAFFEY: That's a good point. A. You know, it was familiarity with 14 Q. Let's go to the evening of the 14 elements of Lehman's operational processes or at least knowing who within Lehman would be better 15 15 Friday -- actually after you wrote this e-mail to 16 equipped to address those questions. 16 Mr. McDade, at 1 in the morning on Saturday. What 17 17 O. Now, in the e-mail that we have marked did you do during the weekend? 18 18 A. Again, I have a hazy recollection. as -- series of e-mails that we have marked as 19 Exhibit 221, the one at the top, Mr. McDade to 19 But I -- again, I was very tired, but I do have remembrances of spending time waiting at the Weil 20 you, says, "Can you call me at home," and that's 20 offices to answer specific questions about 21 21 at 10:51 p.m. -- 10:51 a.m. on Saturday, 22 22 September 20, when we convert to GMT time. settlement and other issues which were a subject 23 23 Did you speak to Mr. McDade at home on of discussion in the -- on the Sunday. 24 Saturday morning. 24 Q. What are you referring to when you 2.5 A. I don't have a specific recollection 25 refer to settlement? Page 161 Page 160 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 of speaking with Bart, but in all likelihood I 2 but we did begin working, once the transaction 3 closed, on both of these items, so I feel 3 would have called him. I wasn't going to not call 4 confident, but I don't have a specific 4 the president of the firm. 5 recollection that Bart would have communicated to 5 Q. Do you have a general recollection of 6 me that these were both part of the transaction. 6 speaking to him in person or over the phone over Q. Just sort of focus where you are and I 7 7 the weekend? 8 A. Well, I believe I would have spoken to will give you the two-page version of that 8 9 him over the phone on Saturday morning, and then I MR. GAFFEY: Can we just substitute -think we were both at the Weil offices on --10 10 do you mind if we unmark that and mark that certainly on the Sunday. 11 11 12 as 220? Q. As you sit here today, looking at 12 13 Exhibit 221, do you have any knowledge as to 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Fine. Would now be a good time for a break? 14 whether there was any particular topic Mr. McDade 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 15 wanted to talk to you about when he wrote this (Exhibit 220, document Bates stamped 16 16 e-mail? 17 10298186 marked for identification as of 17 A. Well, I think that again it is -- I 18 18 don't have a specific recollection, but from the this date.) 19 sense of the e-mail, it was to answer the 19 (Recess) 20 BY MR. GAFFEY: 20 questions that I asked of him. 21 Q. Mr. Lowitt, could you go back to in 21 O. Did you get an answer to the question 22 the pile in front of you Exhibit 23, please. 22 you asked of him as to whether the court accepted the 15c3 lock-up and unencumbered box make it 23 A. Yes. 23 24 We talked a bit about this a moment through to BarCap? 24

25

A. I don't have a recollection of that,

25

ago where I asked you about the language in these

Page 163 Page 162 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 2 e-mails that referred to marking of the positions, MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, asked and 3 3 and what you meant was for BarCap to mark the answered. 4 positions further, and I think you said this was 4 A. What this reflects is for the 5 the asset-by-asset assessment that was being done; 5 different -- at the broad level of the asset 6 is that right? 6 categories, an amount that Barclays was going to 7 7 A. Yes, I think that's what we talked pay to Lehman for assets in those asset categories 8 about before. 8 which reflected the combination of those assets 9 9 they wanted to purchase as well as the price that O. Now, the financial schedule we looked 10 at earlier, one-page financial schedule, reflected 10 they were willing to pay, as we discussed, for the 11 11 large purchases as well as the volatility of the the difference between the price Barclays agreed 12 12 to pay and the amount shown on Lehman's books as assets. 13 of September 16, correct? 13 Q. Taking a look at Exhibit 23, which 14 refers to Barclays and the positions, is there 14 MR. HUME: Objection, lacks 15 15 foundation. Which schedule? further marking down going on here when it is done 16 16 Q. Exhibit 19 that's in front of you on an asset-by-asset basis? 17 17 A. It is not additional. I wouldn't read there. 18 18 it that way. It is an asset-by-asset buildup that A. I think that --19 19 gets us to the purchase. But what you see on Q. Do you have 19 there? 20 20 A. I have 19. Exhibit 19 is the amount after the -- that 21 21 Q. That's the schedule I am referring to. Barclays is willing to pay. It doesn't reflect 22 22 what was the amount that was the amount that was That schedule reflects the values for the assets 23 23 that reflect the difference between the amounts on -- that those particular assets were on 24 24 shown on Lehman's books and the amount Barclays Lehman's books at. 25 So the combination of things that you 25 was willing to pay, correct? Page 165 Page 164 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 top-down view. It was a combination, and it was 2 have to do in the exercise that I think Jerry is 3 iterative through the course of the evening. 3 referring to on Exhibit 23 is come up with a set 4 of assets which on a -- which reflects the amount 4 Q. And now looking again at Exhibit 23, 5 that Barclays is going to pay within that asset 5 which is written on the 17th of September, this is 6 the security-by-security review you talked about, 6 category that is consistent with Exhibit 19. 7 7 ves? MR. BERNSTEIN: Can I just -- can I --8 this is the problem with having a live 8 A. It is the effort to say, just pick a 9 number. There are \$2.7 billion of mortgages on 9 transcript. The second sentence on the live .0 . 0 Exhibit 19. What are the specific positions that transcript begins, "I want read it that 11 way," and what I heard was, "I wouldn't read 11 are going to get transferred over to Barclays that 12 they will be paying 2.7 billion for, that 12 it that way." 13 represents the price they are willing to pay which 13 Q. So I asked you a little earlier 14 whether in the exercise that led to the completion 14 is less than the amount that would have been the 15 15 of Exhibit 19, the top-down view was to arrive at book value. 16 Q. So the question that's being answered 16 the broad price then. And to put another 17 17 on the 17th is which particular securities within question, so the exercise that led to Exhibit 19 18 the category of mortgages will be priced in a way 18 was the top-down process to determine broadly the 19 difference between the amount on Lehman's books 19 to add up to the total for mortgages in 20 20 Exhibit 19? and the amount that Barclays would pay? 21 21 A. I don't think that's completely right. A. You mean the September 18, but yes. 22 I think that's the -- what led to Exhibit 19 was a Q. Yes. But yes is the answer? 22 23 A. I believe, if I am understanding you 23 view on the Lehman side of the combination of what

24

25

was emerging from the sort of bottoms up with what

was happening top down. It wasn't just the

24

correctly, that it is the asset-by-asset view

that's going to -- because in the end, what was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 166

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL necessary to complete the transaction was a specific set of assets were going to be delivered at a specific set of prices. In aggregate by category, we are going to be consistent with 19, if it didn't shift in some way, rather than just something that you saw on 19, on Exhibit 19.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

11

12

13

14

. 5

L 6

17

18

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0 L

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Fed.

#### Q. Well, what do you mean if it didn't shift in some way?

- A. Again, the values that -- the values of assets may have changed, some assets that -that valuations of those may have changed. I didn't mean anything more than that.
- Q. As a result of the asset-by-asset review that was going on, that you are writing about on the 17th, did the delta between the amount shown on Lehman's books and the price Barclays was willing to pay grow larger?
- A. I think as we said, we didn't actually do the exercise that we talked about on Exhibit 23. It was -- this was a series of correspondence about what we would need to have done, but we didn't sit down with Barclays, we didn't sit down and actually re-mark any of the positions. It was -- it was a reference to what we would have

Page 167

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL needed to have done if the transaction had proceeded in the earlier form rather than the repo

- O. Were the individual positions being marked for the purpose of putting them into the repo?
  - A. No.
  - O. Would you need to do --
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Would you need to -- to put them into repo, would you need a schedule of individual positions?
- A. The repo, the advantage of the repo was that there was collateral that was at the Fed, that collateral was delivered to Chase, Chase was supposed to deliver that collateral to Barclays at BoNY, Bank of New York, and as a result, there was no requirement to go into separate schedules and identify specific assets or to mark them in any particular way.
- Q. Because they are marked by BoNY when they're received, BoNY in the triparty; is that correct?
  - A. And by Chase when they return from the

Page 168

### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. OK. I am going to show you a new and improved Exhibit 220. I have marked the correct document this time. And just so we don't have a gap in numbering, I have re-marked Exhibit 66B also as Exhibit 220.

MR. BERNSTEIN: So this is both 66B and 220.

MR. GAFFEY: Yeah. We will call it 220 for the purpose of this deposition. I just didn't want a numbering gap.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure.

BY MR. GAFFEY:

- Q. Let me know when you have had a chance to look at what we have marked as Exhibit 220, Mr. Lowitt, and tell me whether you recall seeing it before.
- A. I don't have a specific recollection of this chain of e-mails.
- Q. Do you know who David Aronow is? A-R-O-N-O-W.
- A. David was one of the people in operations who worked for Alastair Blackwell.
  - Would David Aronow have been involved

Page 169

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL in this security-by-security marking we were talking about before with respect to Exhibit 23?

- A. There was no security-by-security marking for Exhibit 23. There was no effort that -- in other words, there was a project that was being identified as a piece of work. It wasn't a piece of work that actually took place.
- O. OK. In his e-mail to Mr. Tonucci, copy to you, Mr. Aronow says, "Paolo, apologies if you already know this. Barclays' operations team has recalculated the value of the collateral that they received from us last night and they are more than fully collateralized, including the haircut applied. Senior management at Barclays I am told are very satisfied with the results of the effort. They are not interested in moving forward with any more collateral movements from us to them today through the process we built and applied yesterday. They have said that we can now stand down with the process we had in place to move collateral."

Do you know what Mr. Aronow was referring to when he communicated that the Barclays operation team had recalculated the value

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 170

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

of the collateral they received from Lehman?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I mean I can't know precisely what Dave meant by this, but I would assume what Barclays' operations was doing was looking at the collateral that was in their BoNY accounts and assessing the value of that collateral, probably utilizing the BoNY triparty system to assess what they had received and what the value of that was.
- Q. When Mr. Aronow writes that Barclays was now "more than fully collateralized, including the haircuts applied," what do you understand that to mean?
- A. Again, I don't know what Dave was referring to.
- Q. All right. Well, if you had received this in realtime on the 19th of September, give me your best estimate of what you would have understood it to mean or whether you would have had to write back to David to say what do you mean by this.

MR. HUME: Objection, calls for speculation.

A. I don't know what David was referring to specifically with that. I could speculate if

Page 171

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL you would like.

Q. No, thank you.

#### Did it ever come to your attention that Barclays was more than fully collateralized?

- A. Again, I wasn't aware of Barclays being fully collateralized or not. I know that there were a lot of difficulties associated with the collateral movement from Chase to BoNY and that that didn't proceed smoothly.
- Q. Do you know what Mr. Aronow was referring to when he refers to "the process we had in place to move collateral"?
- A. Again, from the context, I would suggest that it is, or I would understand it to be the collateral that was going to move from Chase to BoNY because, you know, the Fed repo was taken down, and rather than transact through JP Morgan, the new repo was going to get booked through BoNY. That process of moving the collateral was not a standard one, and so a process needed to be developed and then applied.
- Q. And at some point apparently Lehman was told it could stand down with the process, yes?

Page 172

Page 173

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL (Exhibit 222, e-mail dated 9/20/2008 at 1:42:32 marked for identification, as of

- Q. We have marked as Exhibit 222, Mr. Lowitt, a one-page chain of e-mails. Take a look through it and tell me whether you have seen it before.
- A. I don't recall the e-mail trail specifically.
- Q. On the lower e-mail from you to Paolo Tonucci, copy to Steven Berkenfeld, the subject is "Thanks for all your help getting us over the goal line. We did it," with exclamation points.

Is "we did it" a reference to we found enough additional value to transfer to Barclays?

- A. I think it's -- that the transaction was approved by the court would have been what I think we would have referred to as getting over the goal line. And we participated in that.
- Q. And what was Mr. Tonucci's participation in getting you over the goal line -withdrawn. I will get to that in a minute.

That being so, there is no reference in here to the bankruptcy court or hearing or

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- A. That's what David is saying.
- Q. Do you have any independent knowledge of a process -- of Lehman standing down on that process?
- A. I mean at some point, we weren't moving additional collateral, but I'm not aware specifically of, you know, a time or a place where people were told no additional work needed to get done.
- Q. Do you have -- without regard to the documents, sir, do you have any knowledge of a point during this week where Barclays said we have enough collateral?
- A. I am not aware of that, no. I don't recall being aware of that.
- Q. Now, did this, the project we have been talking about of looking for additional assets, did that project ultimately succeed? Did you find enough additional assets?
- A. I think we identified unencumbered collateral and we understood the excess collateral in the 15c3 lock-up were in the range that we were looking for.
  - MR. GAFFEY: Can we mark that, please.

Page 175 Page 174 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 decision. It is speaking in terms of 15c3 lock-up 2 O. And how was it that Mr. Tonucci's 3 money and the unencumbered box. By that, were you 3 activities helped get over the goal line? 4 referring to the result in the hearing of the 4 A. Well, it was a combination of things. 5 5 bankruptcy court where the sale was approved? One was the work that he had done in identifying 6 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, the excess collateral, as well as the 15c3 excess. 7 7 mischaracterizes the document. It was also all the work that was done in 8 MR. HUME: I object to vague and 8 effecting the movement of collateral from Chase to 9 9 BoNY, as well as helping to keep the firm sort of ambiguous, the question. 10 A. Again, my -- you know, we had just 10 funded over that period. 11 gone through an extremely trying period in 11 Q. And Mr. Tonucci responds in response 12 12 aggregate. You know, dealing with the bankruptcy to your direction to coordinate with Berkenfeld 13 13 initially and then all the work to get a deal done and the additional work that needs to be done, 14 14 with Barclays. And this is on the Saturday saying "Agreed. We will use Robert for this. Have 15 15 we got the approval or the transaction was confidence he knows how to get done." 16 approved, but we have to do more work. We have to 16 Is that a reference to Robert Azerad? 17 ensure that the 15c3 lock-up money and the -- you 17 A. I believe it is. 18 Q. Now, I asked you before if in the know, we could free that up and transfer it, and 18 19 19 course of the project to find additional assets we can also transfer the collateral in the 20 20 you felt that you were at some degree of unencumbered box to Barclays. 21 21 professional risk if that goal was not achieved. Saying that everything that we have 22 22 had to deal with has proven to be complicated and Do you recall that? 23 23 difficult, we wanted to make sure that we had a A. I do recall you asking that. 24 24 O. And you told me no? team on it to ensure that we are organized to 25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Mischaracterizes his 25 effect that. Page 177 Page 176 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 2 to Mr. Tonucci, "if we don't succeed, you and I testimony. 3 are toast despite all our heroics"? 3 O. Well, did you feel some degree of 4 A. That's what I think I could have meant 4 professional risk if the goal was not achieved 5 by that. 5 with respect to finding additional assets? 6 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, asked and 7 7 answered. 8 8 You can answer it again. 9 9 A. I think I said I didn't recall 10 0 specifically. 11 O. Well, you write to Mr. Tonucci on the 1 12 .2 20th of September at 9:33 a.m., "You need to be 13 L3 close to it. If we don't succeed, you and I are 14 4 toast despite all our heroics." 15 .5 Does that refresh your recollection? 16 16 A. I think that -- what I think was 17 17 described here is we both worked fantastically 18 18 hard to get the transaction to a point where we 19 9 could fund the firm through the Friday where we 20 20 could meet the requirements of the -- we could 21 Q. And when you refer to the benefits of 21 support the transaction as it was negotiated, and 22 this to the whole franchise and the employees and 22 that we didn't want to sort of fail at the last 23 functioning entity, is that what you meant when minute vis-a-vis our ability to move the 23 24 you wrote to Mr. Tonucci referring to "you and I collateral and to resolve the 15c3 lock-up. 24

25

Q. Is that what you meant when you said

25

being toast"?

Page 179 Page 178 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, asked and as part of the transaction. 3 3 Q. Had you had any conversations with answered, and argumentative. Mr. Tonucci in the week prior to the transaction 4 You can answer it one more time and 4 5 then we will move on. 5 about whether he, Paolo Tonucci, was likely to 6 find employment with Barclays after the 6 A. I think it means -- I don't see it as 7 7 referring only to Paolo and myself. I think of it transaction? 8 as if we are not successful with this despite all 8 A. I don't recall having that the hard work that everybody has put into this, 9 conversation with Paolo. 0 that it will be -- that it would have been in 10 Q. Did he ever raise that topic with you, 11 11 is there going to be a job for me at Barclays? vain. 12 A. I don't recall him ever raising it 12 Q. Had you and Mr. Tonucci ever had 13 13 discussions, the two of you, about the effect on with me. 14 14 Q. Did there ever come a point when you your own personal careers if the transaction 15 15 spoke to Mr. Tonucci who reported directly to you didn't close? 16 A. I don't recall us having that 16 about whether there would be a job for him at 17 17 conversation, and we were so busy with so many **Barclays?** 18 . 8 things, I don't believe we would have had that A. I did speak with Barclays the week 19 19 after the transaction closed together with a conversation. 20 number of other folks who were on a list of --20 Q. Well, do you know if Mr. Tonucci had 21 21 an offer in hand from Barclays during the week people that Barclays wanted to retain. But that 22 22 was only the week after the transaction closed. before the closing? 23 23 Q. When did Barclays make it known to you A. He didn't have an offer in the week 24 24 that it wanted to retain Mr. Tonucci? before the closing. The deal would have included, 25 25 you know, all the employees of LBI having an offer A. Well, there wasn't a communication Page 181 Page 180 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 2 around Mr. Tonucci specifically. There were --So it was a lift of a list that had 3 3 there was a list that had been generated for a been developed previously. 4 Q. On the Friday, the 19th, when the 4 number of people in the corporate area that 5 5 project was going on to search for additional Barclays was interested in retaining. 6 O. Did this list include Mr. Tonucci? 6 assets, during that day, was it matter of any 7 7 concern to you that you might not have a position A. It did. 8 Q. Did it include Mr. Kelly? 8 at Barclays if that project was not successful? 9 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, asked and A. It did. 10 0 answered. Q. Did it include Mr. Reilly? 11 A. I did not -- that was not my focus on 1 A. It did. 12 that day, and it was not a concern of mine, I .2 Q. Did it include -- I didn't get the 13 13 don't believe. name -- the person who was in charge of tax who 14 Q. Was it a focus of yours or matter of 4 was a direct report to you? 15 concern to you that if the project of finding 15 A. Yes, it did. 16 Q. Did it include Alastair Blackwell? additional value was not successful, your several 16 17 direct reports would not have jobs or the .7 A. It did.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

20

21

2

23

24

25

reports?

Q. Did it exclude any of your direct

A. I can't say with certainty. It was a

list that was -- that had actually been generated

by Lehman prior to the bankruptcy as the list of

would have received various stock awards that

people that would receive -- that potentially

Lehman was contemplating.

(Exhibit 223, document Bates stamped

10293506 marked for identification, as of

A. Again, I was -- we were all working

very hard to ensure a transaction took place

without regard to individual circumstances.

possibility of jobs at Barclays?

this date.)

2:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 182

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL have marked as Deposition Exhibit 223. Have you seen this document before?

A. I have not. Or I don't recall seeing it before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

h 9

b.o

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. The document is a chain of e-mails, the earliest of which is 20th September, 2008, at 1:04 p.m. from you to Alastair Blackwell with a copy to Paolo Tonucci. Subject, "Confirming our unencumbered box is now the top priority."

And in it you say to Mr. Blackwell, "Please coordinate with Paolo to do everything possible to ensure we have the 1.95 billion of collateral identified and ready to move and address claims from Chase that they have a claim. Ian."

#### What are you referring to when you refer to claims from Chase there?

A. I am -- again, I don't have a recollection of this specifically, but Chase were seizing collateral, and so what we needed to do was make sure that the collateral that we had identified that was unencumbered was -- in fact, we were in -- we had the ability to deliver to Barclays.

#### Page 183

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

So I think that this is again about operationalizing what had been agreed to, which was our ability to deliver the collateral to Barclays to satisfy the terms of the transaction.

- O. OK. So on the Saturday, as far as this e-mail reflects anyway, on the Saturday, you are looking at whether the -- having found the additional value, whether it can actually be -you are assuring yourself it can be transferred to Barclays, correct?
  - A. That's the essence of the e-mail, yes.
- Q. Over the course of the weekend, apart from that type of activity, what was found on Friday can be transferred to Barclays, were there efforts to find still more value?
- A. Again. I can't recall whether there were things specifically to add additional value. I think that if there were additional efforts, it was to sort of understand, in the event some of this collateral couldn't be delivered, whether there was other collateral that could have been delivered to satisfy the requirement under whatever the deal was that was agreed.

Can I have a quick break, please.

Page 184

Page 185

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### Q. Sure.

A. Thank you very much.

(Recess)

(Exhibit 224, four-page e-mail dated 9/20/2008 at 6:12 p.m. marked for identification as of this date.)

BY MR. GAFFEY:

- Q. Mr. Lowitt, I am putting before you a document we have marked as 224. Take a look at that, please, and let me know whether you have seen it before.
- A. I don't have a specific recollection of seeing this trail of e-mails.
- Q. OK. I should -- I am going to ask you a couple of questions about it anyway, but I just want to note that as far as I can see on my read, you are not within the e-mail chain until the very top one that seems to be sent to you as a whole. I only see your name at the top.

But you will see that the e-mail chain is a series of communications that start with Martin Kelly writing to Robert Azerad, Alastair Blackwell and Brett Beldner with some ccs about an opening balance sheet that has been requested by

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### Barclays. Do you see that?

- A. I do see that on the last page.
- Q. Do you have any independent recollection of work being done to prepare an opening balance sheet for Barclays?
- A. I don't have an independent recollection of that, no, not that I recall.
- Q. And in the e-mail that follows, and I'm moving up the page here, 20 September 2008 at 10:27 a.m. from Azerad to Kelly, Blackwell and Felder. Do you see that?
  - A. I do see that.
- Q. Azerad refers to a "classification of the assets by asset class that should be done by end of day today, assuming that what was transferred was," and then he has got two items. One is, "Repo with Barclays as of Thursday night (49 billion-42 billion of securities and 7 billion of cash)." Do you see that?
  - A. I do see that.
- O. And then two, "Non-actionable box as shown to Barclays on Friday afternoon (1.9 billion of collateral). Actual box is slightly bigger because it also contains Lehman debt."

Page 186 Page 187 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 Do you see that? 2 was 7 billion in cash that was supposed to be 3 A. I do. 3 included, correct? 4 Q. And do you recognize this to be two of 4 A. Again, I believe that that was how the 5 5 the components of the value that was ultimately 49 was -- or 49, 50 was going to get established, 6 transferred to Barclays in the transaction? 6 but it didn't move -- I don't believe it all 7 A. I see this as two of the elements of 7 moved. 8 8 the transaction, the repo transaction and the Q. Do you know why the 7 billion did not 9 non-actionable box, which I think is shorthand for 9 move? LΟ or just a different term for the unencumbered 10 A. I don't know why. I know Chase didn't 11 collateral. 11 move it. 12 Q. The description of the values there is 12 Q. And ultimately Chase's failure to move 13 correct, is it not? 49 billion for the repo and 13 it was resolved in a settlement that came in 14 1.9 billion for the unencumbered collateral? 14 December, correct? 15 MR. HUME: Objection, lacks 15 A. I don't know when it happened. I know 16 foundation, vague and ambiguous. 16 there was an ongoing settlement discussion that 17 17 A. Yeah. As I said before, unencumbered Mr. Ricci was involved with. 18 collateral was about a billion nine, and the total 18 Q. Were you involved in any of the 19 repo was, you know, my recollection, about --19 discussions that led to that settlement in 20 between 49 and 50 billion dollars of collateral 20 December? 21 21 was what was papered, and the cash movement was A. I was not. 22 about \$45 billion, again from my recollection. 22 Q. Do you have any knowledge as to on 23 23 What I will say, I don't think the what terms Chase's failure to move the 7 billion 24 24 7 billion in cash was actually included in the 49. were resolved? 25 Q. Well, there was a point where -- there 25 A. I don't know and I wasn't part of the Page 188 Page 189 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 2 a look at the e-mail from Dan Flemming to Paolo negotiating team. 3 3 Tonucci, 20 September at 5:01. Read that through. Q. The plan was 42 billion plus 7 billion 4 in cash, correct? 4 A. I have read the E mail. 5 A. Again, I can't confirm that for you. 5 Q. Do you see the reference in the 20 6 That's what it says down on the e-mail. 6 September 5 -- 17:01 e-mail to a summary of 7 Q. Apart from the fact that it says that 7 securities in lock-up at JPM for 15c3 EBOC, all 8 on the e-mail, sir, do you have any knowledge as 8 caps, and PAIB, all caps? 9 9 to whether the amount of the repo, the collateral A. I do. . 0 10 in the repo was roughly \$49 billion? Q. I want to see what your reading is of 11 11 A. Yeah, my recollection is between 49 this, but as I understand the calculations being 12 12 done here, there is a total of 1.763 billion -and 50 billion dollars. 13 13 Q. Further up the e-mail, there is an A. Yeah, my --14 14 Q. -- identified here; is that right? e-mail from Paolo Tonucci to Azerad, Kelly, 15 15 Blackwell and Beldner. Are you seeing it that way? 16 A. Yeah. My recollection was that the 16 A. This is the 10:31? 17 17 15c3 excess was about \$1.75 billion, so this would Q. That's right. 18 18 And in it Mr. Tonucci writes, "We also be consistent with that recollection. 19 need to add the 15c3 cash as a receivable." Do 19 Q. And at the end of the day, in the 20 20 event only the security portion of the 15c3 was -you see that? 21 21 A. I do see that. well, what was the ultimate amount of 15c3 that 22 22 Q. And if you read further up the e-mail, went over? you will see that's calculated -- well, that a 23 23 A. Again, I know that in the final 24 24 contract, the amount was about \$560 million.

25

series of cash sources are calculated, and I am

going to ask you to read through it and then take

25

(Exhibit 225, two-page document Bates

Page 190

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL stamped 77882 marked for identification, as of this date.)

- Q. I put before you Exhibit 225, Mr. Lowitt. Tell me, please, if you recall seeing this document before.
- A. I don't recall seeing this document before.

L 9

Q. The chain of e-mails here begins on the second page with an e-mail -- well, on the first page it runs over. An e-mail from Paolo Tonucci sent September 18, 4:07 p.m., to you, copy to Alastair Blackwell, subject, "First 5 billion gone."

## What is that a reference to? Do you know?

- A. Again, I would assume this is moving the collateral from Chase to BoNY.
- Q. And that's collateral in respect of the September 18 Lehman-Barclays-BoNY triparty reno?
- A. Right. The unwind of the Fed and the movement of collateral from JP Morgan to BoNY, so the repo could be booked through BoNY's triparty rather than Chase's triparty.

0 Page 191

Q. The next e-mail up, from you to Blackwell, September 18, 16:35, you write, "Are we still papering the 18 billion repo from Barclays or is that all part of the same transfer? Afraid that is getting lost in the numbers. Ian."

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. Mr. Blackwell responds in the next e-mail up, "We are not unwinding. It was 15.8 billion last night. We will increase it at the end of the process."
  - A. I see that.
- Q. This was just kind of a cry for help for me. What is the \$18 billion Barclays repo you are referring to here?
- A. So you will recall one of those earlier e-mails that we talked about that the funding position of the firm was deteriorating quite rapidly. So on the Wednesday we talked about the position having deteriorated by \$7 billion. Barclays was assisting us in our funding, so Barclays was doing repos with Lehman and helping to make up the lost funding capacity that we were experiencing as people were pulling

Page 192

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1
rom funding the street and funding Lehman. 2

away from funding the street and funding Lehman. So in addition to taking on the Fed repo, Barclays were additionally funding Lehman.

- Q. In a repo transaction separate and apart from stepping into the Fed repo?
  - A. Separate and apart.
  - Q. OK. And that --
- A. And that was built up over, I think -- again, I can't say with certainty, but I think it was probably being built up on the Tuesday and the Wednesday.
- Q. Now, on the Thursday in your e-mail from -- in your e-mail to Blackwell and Tonucci, you seem to be asking whether we are still papering the \$18 billion repo with Barclays or is that all part of the same transfer. Is the question you are asking whether they are to be combined, that is the Fed repo and the 18 billion?
- A. It is saying -- I don't recall it specifically, but the question would have been, was Barclays going to continue to fund the \$18 billion of collateral or cash -- I can't be sure if it was collateral or cash -- in addition to what they were doing with the Fed, or was -- or

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL were they in effect going to cancel this \$18 billion repo that they had outstanding.

Page 193

Q. And that perhaps explains for me anyway the e-mail you're sending further up, Lowitt to Blackwell, September 18, 16:44. What -- "Do you mean increase it at the end of the process? Do you mean that the total repo with BarCap becomes 15.8 plus 48 or so? What is the exact number to take out the Fed so it goes up to 63.8?"

#### That's not what happened, right?

- A. That's not what happened. One way this could have happened was that Barclays maintained their \$18 billion repo, which they were doing through Chase, and in addition to that, they were going to take on the \$50 billion or so off the Fed.
- Q. Now, did Barclays roll a \$15.8 billion repo --

MR. BERNSTEIN: In your last answer did you say 15 billion or so off the Fed or 50?

THE WITNESS: 50. 5-0.

Q. Did Barclays roll the \$15.8 billion

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 194

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL repo, the one that is referred to here?

A. They did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.0

11

.2

13

14

.5

. 6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. Had it been your expectation that they would?
- A. I mean expectation is -- I'm not sure if that's the right word. I certainly wasn't aware that they were not going to, but that was why I was asking the question.
- O. Were you surprised at the time to learn that they weren't rolling the \$15.8 billion repo?
- A. Again, I don't have a complete recollection, but I do believe that I -- it was not expected that they were not going to roll the 15.8 that they had been funding up to that point.
- O. So did that create -- we have enough to deal with there. Does that create another problem that has to be addressed to replace that funding?
- A. That's the heart of the issue with Chase, because Chase then had to fund that as a box position. So Chase effectively stood in to fund that position on the Thursday evening.
  - Q. Did Chase do that, stand in on that

Page 196

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL meeting with the bankruptcy judge occurs on the Friday afternoon.

- Q. Mr. Lowitt, I put before you what was previously marked as Deposition Exhibit 77B. Have you seen those e-mails before, that chain of e-mails?
- A. Yeah, I don't have a specific recollection of this e-mail chain.
- Q. The e-mail -- again, I am now starting at the top of the page rather than working chronologically. The e-mail from you to Monty Forrest, Alastair Blackwell, Neal Ullman, Jim Hrasca, copies Tonucci, Azerad and Flemming, entitled "1.9 billion, 9:15 p.m. update."

And you say, and it's as follows, "Need a CUSIP level detail of the collateral and where it is for a 7 a.m. meeting with Bart. Monty, you or Alastair need to be at that 7 a.m. meeting to prepare for a final Weil meeting to finalize the agreement. Thanks. Good luck getting additional collateral. But good accurate presentation of the collateral is also critical, as we will append to the agreement. Thanks again for all the hard work. Ian."

Page 195

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL funding?

- A. They did.
- Q. Would you explain to me how they do that? I'm a little, as you put it in your e-mails, lost in the numbers. How does Chase stand in to replace the Barclays repo funding?
- A. The collateral is sitting in the Chase accounts, so they have the collateral, and they extend a box loan against that collateral.

So the way the triparty would work normally is, if there is any collateral left in the box, because of inefficiencies in funding through the course of the day that weren't anticipated, in certain cases if there is extra collateral in the box, Chase would extend a loan against that collateral.

So the collateral here that had been funded by Barclays in the 15.8 remained in the box as collateral, and Chase needed to fund it that night with a very substantial box loan.

- Q. And they made the loan?
- They did make the loan.
- And does that roll the next day? Q.
- Well, it remains in place and the

Page 197

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Now, do you recall -- if you don't -even if you don't recall a particular e-mail, do you recall putting this project on the plate of people that night for a 7 a.m. meeting with Bart McDade?

- A. I don't have a recollection of that.
- O. Do you recall meeting with Bart McDade on the Monday morning at 7 a.m.?
  - A. Is it on the --MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah.
- Q. Let me back up. The e-mail you send is --
- A. This is Saturday night for Sunday morning.
- Q. You're right. I have screwed up my GMTs here.

So the e-mail is late Saturday night at about 10:23 p.m. Saturday night, and refers to a 7 a.m. meeting for Sunday, yeah?

- A. I believe that to be the case based on the timing.
- O. OK. All right. And the reference in here to a final Weil meeting to finalize the agreement, what agreement are you referring to in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 198

#### there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

<u>l</u>14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Again, I know that the -- that there were meetings on Saturday and Sunday at Weil which were finalizing the agreement with Barclays, and that there were some set of issues or items that, you know, still needed to get resolved, and that's why, you know, we were meeting at the Weil offices on Sunday.

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### Q. Did you go to any of those meetings?

A. I mean I was at Weil. A lot of time was spent sitting around. There was some meetings that I was a part of, but the majority of meetings were happening with other people involved in those.

#### Q. And you were not at any of the meetings where the agreements were finalized; is that right?

A. That's -- I don't remember being at any meetings where things were finalized.

Q. And in this e-mail, you express to the men to whom you are sending it, "Good luck getting additional collateral." What is that a reference to? Are you still looking for collateral as late as Saturday night?

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 199

A. I think we were trying to understand what was the collateral that was unencumbered. The information that was available was extremely -- it was extremely difficult to get clarity on what collateral we had that was really unencumbered, given that we didn't have access to many of our accounts at Chase and we didn't know what collateral Chase had actually taken.

So I think that was what this was probably referring to. But I don't have a precise recollection of it. Based on what I know was going on at the time.

#### Q. Did that get straightened out, what collateral they had actually taken?

A. I think it was -- it wasn't straightened out completely that weekend. I think it remained work that was ongoing, and we didn't have access to many of the -- much of the information systems that we needed to resolve that completely.

(Exhibit 226, e-mail dated September 21, 2008 at 2:15 p.m. marked for identification, as of this date.)

Q. I put before you, Mr. Lowitt, what we

Page 200

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** have marked as Deposition Exhibit 226. Have you seen that before?

A. I have no recollection of seeing this before.

#### Q. Do you know Gerard Larocca?

A. Gerard is the CAO for BarCap in the Americas.

#### Q. And this e-mail is dated September 21, 2:15 p.m.

- A. So that's the Saturday?
- Q. Yes. No, that's the Sunday.
- A. That's the Sunday.
- Q. That's the Sunday.

Entitled "Please give me a call to review the schedules on collateral." And the text of the e-mail says, "To emphasize the key point, there is no overlap between the unencumbered collateral and the purchased assets of 49.9 billion. Ian."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. Do you have a recollection of there being some issue that you needed to resolve or clarify with Mr. Larocca as to whether the

Page 201

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL unencumbered collateral overlapped with the repo amount?

A. I don't have a specific recollection, but I'm sure that BarCap would have wanted to make sure that the schedule that was the unencumbered collateral schedule didn't include any assets that were already in the repo transaction and that they were -- given the sort of uncertainty around information, that we were sure that the collateral that was in the unencumbered collateral schedule was not included in the repo transaction that we talked about before.

#### Q. And apparently you determined that the unencumbered collateral was not included in the repo; is that correct?

A. That was what a lot of the work that Alastair and the others who are referenced in that earlier e-mail were working to insure. Not only that it wasn't in the repo, but also that it was genuinely unencumbered and that if there was -you know, additional work over that period may have identified some collateral that we had previously thought was unencumbered that may have been encumbered as they got better or new

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 202

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

119

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. When you write to Mr. Larocca on Sunday, the 21st, the conclusion that has been reached is it wasn't in the repo and it was unencumbered, correct?
- A. That was what the exercise was designed to ensure, and every effort was made to ensure that was the case. Whether it was correct in every case, can't say, but that was the best effort that a lot of people were putting in to determine that was the case, because that was our understanding of what the transaction called for.
- Q. I'm not trying to be argumentative here. I think we may be at cross purposes. I think what I am asking you is, this project people were working so hard on, did it conclude that the unencumbered -- that the unencumbered collateral was not included in the repo and it was available for transfer?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, asked and answered.

A. The exercise was to identify collateral that was unencumbered and not part of the repo transaction. The people working on it

Page 203

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

were confident that that was the case.

What I can't say with certainty is that that was correct in every single circumstance, but that was the purpose of the exercise that people were undergoing.

Q. OK, thank you.

(Exhibit 227, two-page document Bates numbed 70327 marked for identification, as of this date.)

- Q. I put before you, Mr. Lowitt, what we have marked as Deposition Exhibit 227. Have you seen this set of e-mails before?
- A. Again, I don't recall the specific flow of e-mails.
- Q. Looking at the earliest e-mail in the chain, from Tonucci to you September 22, 5:24 a.m., re: 15c3 -- you know, I think it's part of --
  - A. Mine says 4:02 a.m.
- Q. I was in the wrong place. Let me start again.

The earliest is 4:02 a.m. entitled "15c3." Tonucci writes to O'Meara, Lowitt, Kelly, Azerad and Blackwell, "Final agreement was limited

Page 204

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL to the 769 million of Treasuries so should be more comfortable to accomplish."

Does that reflect an adjustment in the 15c3 that no cash would move over?

- A. I don't know if it would be that no cash would move over. What I understood this to mean was the amount of excess under the 15c3 that was in the contract was 769 rather than that billion seven that had been talked about earlier.
  - Q. What contract are you referring to?
- A. The final agreement that was signed by BarCap.
  - Q. And you have never seen that contract?
  - A. Which I didn't read.
- Q. Well, were you -- did you ever have it in your hand? Were you ever in possession of it?
- A. I did not have it in my hand that I recall.
- Q. And in your e-mail to Mr. Tonucci, at 5:22 on the morning of September 22, you ask, "Is it all signed? Ian." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is the "it" that you are referring to?

Page 205

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**

- A. I think I would have been referring to the agreement between Barclays and Lehman being finally signed and completed.
- Q. Again, just so we -- this series of questions is complete, that's the agreement you hadn't seen?
- A. It was the agreement that was being worked on by a large number of people between Barclays and Lehman. I wasn't involved with that. I wasn't present at the time that those agreements were being worked on.
- Q. Now, further up in the e-mail chain, you're told by Mr. Tonucci that there is an issue with RACERS which JPM had on their list of assets to transfer. That is the only open item. Do you see that?
  - A. I do.
- Q. Do you have any recollection of what the issue was concerning RACERS?
- A. I don't have a specific recollection, but I can infer from this that JP Morgan wanted the RACERS to be included in the repo trade with Barclays and that Barclays was resisting that, and that was something that I was aware was an issue.

1 .

Page 206

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- Q. Can you give me a bit more detail about the issues? Why was it Barclays was resisting that? Did you have an understanding of that?
- A. Well, it was one of those assets that Barclays didn't want to take, and when they thought about the Fed repo that they stepped into, the Fed repo didn't include the RACERS, and so they didn't feel that they should have to take the RACERS position as part of the repo.
- Q. Did they wind up taking the RACERS position, as far as you know?
- A. I don't know. That was, I'm sure, part of the discussion between JP Morgan and BarCap that you referenced that was completed in December.
- Q. Further up in the e-mail Mr. Tonucci says, "We are not involved, have tried to stay out of it completely." Do you see that?
  - A. I do.

- Q. What is your understanding of why Tonucci or Lehman would try to stay out of it completely?
  - A. Look, I -- I don't know what Paolo

**LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** 

Page 207

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL means by this specifically, but this would -- I think we would have seen this as an issue between JP Morgan and BarCap to resolve, not something that Lehman could contribute to.

Could I take a quick break, please.

- Q. Sure. If it helps, I am almost done. If you want to take a break --
- A. Just a short one. I just need a few minutes.

(Recess)

BY MR. GAFFEY:

- Q. There was some part of your testimony that you wanted to clarify, sir?
  - A. Yes.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Let me refer you to the end of 176. You were asked, "What was the ultimate amount of 15c3 that went over?" And your answer: "Again, I know that in the final contract, the amount was about \$560 million."

Do you want to make a clarification?

- A. The correct number would be \$760 million, around \$760 million.
  - O. Thanks.

Page 209

### Page 208

Mr. Lowitt, when the -- you will -- can you pull out -- I think you have it there -- Exhibit 19.

A. Yes.

- Q. Everybody spends time with Exhibit 19. Exhibit 19. When the when that schedule was put together and the asset classes when that schedule was put together and it was going to reflect the price that Barclays would pay versus the amount shown on Lehman's books for those asset classes, were the RACERS included within the asset classes that are listed?
  - A. I actually don't know. I don't know.
- Q. We talked a bit just before the last break about an issue that arose over the weekend where Barclays didn't want the RACERS and they were -- I think they were taken out of the collateral supporting the repo. Is that right?
- A. Again, I don't know what ended up being concluded between JP Morgan and Barclays, but I know that it was a point of contention between the two parties.
- Q. And whatever discussions they had to solve that point of contention, do you know if the

# LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL end result was the RACERS were not transferred over to Barclays?

- A. Again, I don't know the details of what the settlement was between JP Morgan and BarCap, so I don't know whether the RACERS went over to Barclays or remained with JP Morgan.
- Q. Do you know if the basis of Barclays' concern about the RACERS was the quality of that collateral?
- A. I don't know the basis of their concern. It may well have been their ability to feel comfortable with what they were willing to pay for it, but I don't know why, what the basis of their concern was.
- Q. We have talked from time to time today about the delta between the amount Barclays was willing to pay against the amount against the value that Lehman ascribed to the collateral. Was there any discussion over the weekend about adjusting that delta if the RACERS were taken out?
- A. Again, I'm not aware of any discussions around that. I think that the repo that Barclays believed that they were entering into was the one that they were standing in the

Page 210

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL shoes of the Fed. The RACERS were not part of the repo with the Fed, so they were not expecting the RACERS to be part of the transaction.

Q. The constituent parts of the collateral that was in the repo at the Fed, the body of collateral that was with the Fed, was that body of collateral amongst the securities that were valued that led to the -- valued and priced, that led to the drafting of Exhibit 19?

MR. HUME: Objection, vague and ambiguous.

A. I think the --

Q. You know, I think my friend Hamish might be right. Let me rephrase that.

Did the component parts of Lehman's inventory change from the time the exercise that led to Exhibit 19 took place to the time collateral was put into the repo when Barclays stepped in for the Fed?

A. I think there was some small amount of trading activity, but given the state of LBI, it would have been, you know, securities that were maturing or settling but there was very little trading activity. So it wouldn't be identical,

Page 211

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL but it would be largely similar but not necessarily identical.

- Q. Now, with respect to this, on Exhibit 19, the annotations for assumed liabilities for comp and cure, did you ever have a discussion with Mr. Kelly about the amount that was accrued for cure in that schedule?
- A. You said the amount that was accrued for cure?
- Q. You see the amount next to "cure" there is 2 and a quarter billion?
  - A. I do.
- Q. Did there come a time after that schedule was prepared where you had a discussion with Martin Kelly about whether that number was too high?
- A. Yeah, I have no recollection of discussions with Martin about a cure payment.
- Q. Do you have any recollections of any discussions with Mr. McDade where he was informed that that number was too high?
- A. I have -- I don't recall a conversation with Bart about that.
  - Q. Do you generally recall any point

Page 212

Page 213

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

where Mr. McDade allowed as how if that number was too high, Lehman had just left a billion dollars on the table?

- A. I don't recall any conversations around the cure payment after the Monday night, Tuesday morning.
- Q. Do you recall any conversations at all, without, you know, with regard to Mr. McDade, Mr. Kelly, any conversations at all about whether that accrual for 2 and a quarter billion for cure was a good estimate, whether it was close to what the real liability would be?
- A. Again, my focus through the course of that week was around funding, around other aspects, and I don't recall any discussions around the cure payment.
- Q. Were you involved in any discussions surrounding the comp accrual shown on that schedule?
- A. I don't recall being a part of any discussions around the comp.
- Q. Did you ever develop an understanding as to whether the accrual for comp on that schedule bore any relation to the actual accruals

## LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL for comp at Lehman?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, mischaracterizes the document.

Q. I am sorry, I am getting tired too. Let me rephrase the question.

Did you ever develop an understanding as to whether a \$2 billion accrual for comp was a good estimate?

A. My understanding of the 2 billion was that it was a negotiated number between Barclays and Lehman, that it included the cash bonus, as well as sort of stock and other forms of compensation.

So the fact that it was a \$2 billion number which was larger than the sort of accrual for cash bonus didn't surprise me.

- Q. Is the effect of that enlargement of the number over the accrual for -- accrual for a cash bonus, to increase the consideration Barclays is shown to pay by assuming liabilities?
- A. I don't see it in that way. I see it as an agreement that \$2 billion of compensation in the form of cash bonus and stock would be available for Lehman employees.

	Page 214		Page 215
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	Q. Was it agreed to that amount,	2	talking with Martin on that either the Monday
3	\$2 billion, simply so assets and liabilities would	3	night or Tuesday morning about the need to
4	balance on Exhibit 19?	4	estimate that and the difficulties associated with
5	A. The comp number at 2 billion was	5	it, given that we didn't have sort of daily
6	consistent through earlier iterations of this that	6	balance sheets associated with LBI, and there was
7	we have been through, so it wasn't a number that	7	a need to estimate it, and Martin went through
8	made these balance. It was one of the inputs that	8	some process to estimate it, which I don't recall
9	had been consistent based on the discussions	9	him taking me through. He would just have
10	through the evening and morning.	10	provided the estimate.
11		11	Q. I am showing you, sir, what has
12	and accrual on that balance on that, on Exhibit	12	previously been marked as Exhibit 45. Have you
13		13	seen this document before?
1	15, Just Frank 1141115 61 51	-	
14	A. No. The comp number was the number	14	A. I have not.
15	that was the number that was agreed between the	15	Q. Do you recall writing to
16	F	16	Mr. Berkenfeld, Mr. McDade, Mr. Kirk, Mr. Tonucci
17	8	17	and Mr. Seery in the early morning hours of
18	3 8	18	Monday, September 22, and commenting on the fact
19	Q. It was Martin who generated that	19	that the closing had started?
20		20	A. I don't recall it specifically.
21		21	Q. Do you recall sending e-mails back and
22	ž	22	forth inquiring as to where things stood on the
23	8	23	closing?
24		24	A. I mean we have been through
25	My own specific recollection was	25	Exhibit 227, where I was asking Paolo how the
	Page 216		Page 217
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	negotiations were proceeding. I obviously was	2	EXAMINATION BY
3	interested in how it was going.	3	MS. TAGGART:
4	Q. And in the e-mail below yours saying	4	Q. Mr. Lowitt, I am Erica Taggart and I
5	hooray, from Berkenfeld to McDade, Berkenfeld	5	represent the committee.
6	writes, "JP Morgan blinked. They agreed to cancel	6	A. The committee?
7	the 7.4 billion collateral purchase."	7	Q. The official committee of unsecured
8	Do you understand what that is a	8	creditors. We are special counsel for the
9	reference to?	9	committee and we're the law firm of Quinn Emanuel.
10	A. I don't know.	10	A. So the creditors' committee?
11	Q. Do you know if at the time you did	11.	Q. Yes.
12	•	12	Before the closing did you have any
13	•	13	other outstanding offers of employment if you did
14	A. I don't have any recollection other	14	not move to Barclays?
15	than I am sure that my hooray with three	15	A. I didn't have outstanding offers, but
16	exclamation points was about we are starting the	16,	I had been in conversations with various other
17	closing. That was a huge relief, that after all	17	institutions.
18	this, the transaction was going to close.	18	Q. Do you remember now what those
19		19	institutions were?
1	Q. I am going to ask you not to say	20	A. I had some conversations with Citadel.
20	, i	20 21	
21		21 22	Q. And any others?
22	can hear.	§	A. That was that's one that I had
23		23	probably the most conversations with.
24		24	Q. Did you get to the point of discussing
25		25	any terms of employment with Citadel prior to the

	Page 218		Page 219
1		1	
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	closing?	2	that collateral was held by Chase or whether all
3	A. I did not.	3	of that collateral was moved to Barclays. That
4	Q. Do you know one way or the other	4	was subject to that settlement that we referred to
5	whether Citadel was prepared to offer you any	5	earlier as occurring in the December time frame
6	terms that were commensurate with what Barclays	6	between JP Morgan and Barclays.
7	was offering you?	7	Q. Is it your understanding that some of
8	MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, vague and	8	the collateral that was pledged to the Fed did not
9	ambiguous.	9	make it over to Barclays?
10	A. The role that I was in discussions	10	A. Again, I don't know with certainty
11	with Citadel was a very senior role at a major	11	whether all the collateral that was in the Fed
12 13	hedge fund, but we did not discuss terms	12	repo made it over to Barclays. I believe the
1.3	specifically. It didn't get to that point.	13	majority did. I can't say whether all did because I don't have the details of the settlement between
14	Q. Now, I want to switch gears for a	14	
15 16	minute and talk about the repo transaction, and I	15 16	JP Morgan and Barclays.
16	understand that the general idea was that Barclays	17	Q. Do you know if there was collateral
17	was going to step into the shoes of the Fed and	18	that went to Barclays as part of the triparty repo with Bank of New York, Barclays and Lehman that
18 19	take the collateral that had been pledged to the Fed as part of a repurchase agreement.	19	was not part of what was pledged to the Fed?
20		20	A. Again, I don't know all the collateral
I .	Do you generally recall that subject?  A. I do.	21	that made it into BoNY because of the operational
21 22	Q. Was all of the collateral that was	22	difficulties with Chase and Chase's activity
23	pledged to the Fed as part of the repurchase	23	moving collateral from Chase to BoNY.
24	agreement ultimately given to Barclays?	24	Q. Do you know who would know that?
25	A. Again, I don't know whether some of	25	A. Well, the people who would have the
	Page 220		Page 221
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	best view of that would probably be Paolo Tonucci	2	were part of the transaction, I can't say for
3	and Mr. Blackwell.	3	certainty whether they were or they weren't.
4 5	Q. Do you know whether it was someone at JPMC or someone at Lehman who made the decision	5	Q. So just to be clear, do you know one way or the other whether any residential
6	about what of the securities that were pledged to	6	mortgage-backed securities were transferred to
7	the Fed were going to be transferred to Barclays?	7	Barclays as part of the transaction with Lehman?
8	A. I don't know with certainty. I would	8	A. I don't know with certainty.
9	imagine that it was there were communications	9	O. You also discussed earlier that there
10	going on between Lehman operations and people at	10	was a presentation to the creditors committee the
11	Chase to effect the movement of collateral from	11	weekend prior to the closing that showed a
12	Chase to BoNY.	12	schedule of unencumbered collateral. Do you
13	Q. Were you involved at all in	13	recall that?
14	discussions of whether residential mortgage-backed	14	A. I don't think it was a presentation,
15	securities would be part of the transaction	15	but I do recall that a schedule of unencumbered
16	between Lehman and Barclays?	16	collateral that was going to be included as a
17	A. I wasn't part of the negotiations or	17'	component of the deal was shared with the
18	the determination of which assets would be	18	creditors committee.
19	included. I am assuming you are referring to now	19	Q. How do you know that?
20	the sort of earlier transaction that was discussed	20	A. I was at the Weil offices and the
21	on the Monday and Tuesday.	21	creditors were in a the creditors committee
22	Q. Well, do you know one way or another	22	were in one of the rooms at the Weil offices.
23	whether have you heard the term "Resi's" in	23	Q. Were you present during that
24	connection with this transaction?	24	presentation to the committee?
25	A. There may well have been Resi's that	25	A. Again, it wasn't a presentation. I

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 222

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL think it was a delivery of a schedule.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Were you present when there was a delivery of a schedule?
- A. I don't have a precise recollection of that.
  - Q. Do you know who did the delivery?
- A. I don't know precisely. I would -again, I'm speculating, but I think it would have been either Paolo Tonucci or Robert Azerad.
- Q. Do you know if any other documents were transmitted to the committee along with that schedule identifying unencumbered collateral?
- A. I don't know whether they received additional information. They may well have, I don't know.
- Q. Do you know one way or the other whether there was any discussion of the value of that unencumbered collateral in the delivery of that schedule to the committee?
- A. Again, I think that the schedule was delivered to the committee. I'm not aware of specific discussions in relation to the valuation.
- Q. You also testified this morning about your understanding of the deal as it closed and

Page 223

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL you described your sense of many of the elements of the transaction. Do you recall that part of your testimony?

- A. I do recall that.
- Q. And I think you listed some different pieces of the transaction of value that was ultimately received by Barclays generally?
- A. My recollection is that I talked about different elements of the transaction.
- O. Do you have a sense of what Barclays gave in the deal to Lehman?
- A. I am sorry, can you be more specific about what you mean by what Barclays gave to Lehman.
- Q. Sure. So if I understand your testimony from earlier, you listed some different things that Lehman ended up transferring to Barclays in the transaction, including unencumbered collateral and the 15c3 and some securities that were related to the repo. Do you recall that?
  - A. I do.
- Q. What did Lehman receive from the transaction?

Page 224

Page 225

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A. Again, what Lehman received was negotiated by other parties and I don't know the details of all of those items. I know they got consideration for the building. I know they received some amount of cash and I know there was a TSA agreement that was signed which required Barclays to provide a number of services to support the various estates. And there may be others, I'm not familiar with all of them, all other items.

Q. And in connection with the repurchase agreement, they received 45 billion dollars in cash?

A. Essentially, they received, you know, 45 billion dollars of cash which then had to be paid to the Fed to replace the repo that was done by the Fed.

Q. For the securities that Barclays received as part of this repurchase agreement, did it assume any liabilities related to those securities?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.

A. I'm afraid I don't understand what you

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL mean by accepted liabilities.

- Q. Well, maybe we could take a look at Exhibit 19 and that's the schedule -- this is the version that was marked final.
  - A. Everyone's favorite schedule.
  - Q. It is everyone's favorite schedule.

So putting aside the questions I just asked, focusing on this schedule, did you have an understanding of what was listed under the liability section?

- A. Yeah, but the repo that we have just been talking about was what was transacted on the Thursday and it replaced this transaction.
- Q. I understand and it is my fault that I switched two gears, but I just for a minute want to now focus on this schedule and the way it reflected the deal that was in place as of September 16 at 11:18 a.m. Did you have an understanding of what these numbers listed under liabilities were meant to reflect?
- A. I think these were liabilities that Barclays would take on, whether those were the short positions that LBI held or they would have to step in for -- they would have to assume the

Page 226

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL liability of some of the short term funding.

- Q. Was there a relationship between the categories of assets that were listed, such as government and agriculture, with assets and the liabilities that were listed under that same category in the other column?
- A. Those are agencies, so it is government and agencies.

#### O. Excuse me?

1 2

.0

L 3

1.4

L 9

A. That's OK. Those would have been short positions in governments and agencies is 21 billion, and the 40 would have been the long positions in governments and agencies.

# Q. Do you know how the numbers were arrived at that were listed in the liabilities on this schedule?

- A. Again, they were the collection of a number of people in finance identifying what were the short positions that were associated with those assets that were going to be acquired by Barclays.
- Q. Were the numbers listed in the liabilities on Exhibit 19 subject to negotiation between Barclays and Lehman?

Page 227

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- A. Again, I wasn't party to those discussions so I can't answer that question for you. I am sorry.
- Q. Do you know one way or another whether they reflected Lehman's view of what the liabilities would be or an opinion based on what Barclays thought the liabilities would be?
- A. I can't say with certainty. I think these were probably the liabilities as Lehman assessed them with input from folks at BarCap to the extent that they were uncomfortable accepting some of the short positions.
- Q. Do you know if in the ultimate agreement, setting this aside, Barclays assumed any liabilities related to short positions?
- A. Again, I don't know. As discussed, you know, I wasn't party to the negotiations.
- Q. I think you also testified earlier that you were involved in a -- there was some effort made to match assets and liabilities I think in conjunction with setting up the initial deal on Tuesday the 16th.

MR. HUME: Objection, mischaracterizes prior testimony.

Page 228

Page 229

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A. No, I don't think I said that.

## Q. Was there any -- was there any effort made to match assets and liabilities?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous, but you may answer.

- A. I mean the, you know, adjusted total assets and the total of liabilities do match, so one would assume from that that there was some effort to match assets and liabilities in this schedule. Whether this schedule represents the agreement that was agreed to by Barclays again is subject to the negotiators and what the negotiators agreed to.
- Q. First let me find out if I can understand what I think you testified to before. I think it was in just according to my notes, when you were talking about what you were doing that night, you collected input from parties about the asset part of the transaction, you got input from the business about which assets Barclays would be interested in, and I believe you made some sort of testimony about there was an effort to match assets and liabilities. I understand that is paraphrasing and not exactly what you

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL said, but do you recall any involvement that you personally did in an effort to match assets and liabilities?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I am going to object to the form of the question.

You can answer the last sentence which was the question part.

- A. Again, I think that the adjusted total assets equals the total liabilities on this, so some effort was undertaken to match assets and liabilities per the schedule.
- Q. Were you personally involved in some activity relating to matching assets and liabilities?
- A. Again, I have no precise recollection, but I may well have been involved in that.
- Q. But like you testified, you did notice that the final exhibit does have a matching in that the adjusted total assets is the same number as the total liabilities? You see that?
  - A. I do see that.
- Q. And do you know if that was coincidence or that was something that was purposeful?

Page 230

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MR. HUME: Objection, vague and ambiguous.

- A. Yeah, I can't say -- I can't say anything to you other than the totals do equal one another.
- Q. If I look, Exhibit 200, which is I believe the earlier -- an earlier version of the schedule that has some annotations by yourself, and here you see there are some -- the numbers that are listed under adjusted total assets is not the same as total liabilities. You see that?
  - A. I do see that.

1.8

L 0

<u>l</u> 4

1.5

- Q. Do you know when -- did there come a time that you noticed that the schedule had those matching of assets and liabilities?
- A. Again, I don't have a specific -this -- I don't have a specific recollection of
  that. I do know that through the course of the
  evening and the morning, these were updated with
  additional information, and as you point out, the
  Exhibit 19 does have assets and liabilities equal
  to one another.
- Q. Switching topics again, I also want to take you to the conversation that you had with

Page 231

# LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Mr. McDade and Mr. Ricci on Friday the 19th about the process of finding additional value. Do you remember that event?

- A. I do.
- Q. Was there any time given where you needed to find that amount of collateral by a certain time?
- A. I don't recall a specific deadline for that.
- Q. Did you have a sense for when that would be needed by?
- A. I think my sense was the sooner, the better.
- Q. You also testified a number of times about -- that the numbers -- let's start with, for example, listed on the assets in Exhibit 19 reflected a price that Barclays was willing to pay given the size of their purchase and the volatility of the market. Do you recall that?
  - A. I do.
- Q. What is your basis of your testimony that that price was determined based on the factors of the size of their purchase and the volatility of the market?

Page 232

Page 233

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**

A. I mean, it is not based on specific knowledge of what they were thinking about. It is based on my sense of what would happen in a -- in any circumstance where a securities firm was selling a very large block of assets.

They would expect that whoever was purchasing that large block of assets, particularly at a time of great market uncertainty -- which certainly the week after the Lehman bankruptcy was a time of great market uncertainty -- that they would pay less for those assets because they were making such a large purchase and how much less they would pay would also be determined by the amount of volatility in the marketplace and how given that volatility, the asset prices could reduce before they were able to sell out their positions.

- Q. Did you communicate with anyone at Barclays about whether the reason for their selection of price had to do with either the size of their purchase or the volatility of the market?
- A. I don't recall specific conversation with people at Barclays about their approach to

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL the valuation of the assets.

- Q. What about anyone at Lehman? Did anybody at Lehman say that the price that Barclays was going to pay was based on the size of their purchase and the volatility of the market?
- A. Again, I don't have a precise recollection of that. But again, I don't feel that that would have been an unusual view for anyone to have had. If I had spoken with Bart or Alex Kirk about that, I'm sure, I believe they would have agreed that that made sense. But I don't recall a specific conversation.
- Q. Putting aside conversations with your lawyers and this discussion today, have you ever spoken to anybody about whether or not the price that Barclays paid was reflective of the size of their purchase and the volatility of the market?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Hold on. When you said putting aside conversations with your lawyers, you are including Barclays counsel? MS. TAGGART: Yes.

MR. BERNSTEIN: OK, go ahead.

A. The transaction that actually took place was not this transaction. It was the repo

Page 234

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL transaction and the repo transaction, the difference between the collateral delivered and the cash received was driven by the standard financing haircuts and so the actual transaction that took place was different and there was no requirement to hence operationalize this version of adjusted assets being sold to Barclays.

1.8

L 2

L 5

- Q. I understand there is something that happens after about the repo transaction, but I do just want to focus on your testimony today, a number of times, that the pricing that was, at least in the original transaction from the 16th, was based on the size of the purchase and the volatility of the market. Putting aside your conversations with counsel, have you ever discussed that with anybody?
- A. I don't have a recollection of discussing that specifically with anybody, but again, I would just reiterate that the notion of one firm buying a large block of assets from another would involve a substantial bid offer spread is one that would be broadly understood by market participants.
  - Q. What exactly were you told about the

Page 236

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL market is something that happens when securities firms are selling a large block of assets. Do you recall that?

A. I do.

- Q. Have you been in any transactions where securities firms are selling large blocks of asset?
- A. Well, in the, you know, in the prior quarter, Lehman had sold substantial quantities of assets in our efforts to manage down our legacy positions. But I wasn't party to those specific negotiations, but I was aware that when counterparties were looking to purchase blocks of assets, they were looking for a big bid/offer spread associated with that.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Again, this may just be the transcript, but what's written down "big bid/offer spread."

THE WITNESS: A big bid/offer spread. MR. BERNSTEIN: Good, my apologies.

Q. Are you referring to specific transactions?

A. The transaction that I have in mind is a sale of European residential securities to

Page 235

# LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL reason that these prices were less than what was marked on Lehman's books referring to the prices reflected on Exhibit 19?

- A. Again, I don't have a recollection of a specific instruction with regard to that. I was aware that Barclays were willing to purchase a substantial quantity of assets from the Lehman estate and that what they were willing to pay for those assets was less than what those were marked at based on the prevailing market prices.
- Q. Is there anything else that you actually learned from somebody, either from Barclays or Lehman, prior to September 22, about the basis for the pricing that Barclays used in the assets that it was willing to pay for these assets?
- A. Again, I don't have anything specific with regard to why Barclays were willing to pay those prices for these specific assets. That was their judgment of what they were willing to pay to acquire this large quantity of assets.
- Q. You did testify just a bit ago that you believed that some sort of discount based on the size of the purchase or volatility of the

Page 237

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL BlackRock.

- Q. Were you involved in those negotiations?
  - A. I was not.
- Q. How do you know that BlackRock wanted a bid off the spread in association with the transaction?
- A. That would have been reported to me by Tom Humphrey who was engaged in discussions with BlackRock.
- Q. Do you know what BlackRock was intending to do with the assets that it purchased?
  - A. No, I don't.
- Q. And do you know what the amount of spread it negotiated for, BlackRock negotiated in this other transaction?
- A. I don't recall precisely what the spread was. It actually wasn't a consummated transaction. It was one that was in negotiations over the quarter end and didn't end up being completed.
- Q. Is there any other transaction that is informing your testimony that you believe that generally when securities firms are selling large

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

Page 238

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL blocks of assets, they require some sort of discount?

- A. Again, I can't point to specific transactions, but it was my understanding that -it is my understanding that that's how the market operates. And it does seem logical to me.
- Q. Do you have any other factual basis besides your belief that that is logical?
- A. I can't point to a specific transaction which effects that. I could --
  - Q. Anything else?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

L 0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

23

24

Q. But your general belief based on logic that securities firms selling a large block of assets include this sort of discount -- how is your sense of how much that discount is compared to the haircut that financers (sic) often require when they are giving cash for collateral?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, vague and ambiguous.

A. I think those are just different things. One is negotiated by two parties, one of whom wants to sell assets in size quickly where the buyer has negotiating power and can extract a

Page 240

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** assets that were held back from Barclays?

- A. Again, I think there was a schedule that was created that represented our best estimate of what the unencumbered collateral was and that was the schedule that was provided to the Lehman management and they then negotiated a deal with Barclays.
- Q. But as far as you know, there was no unencumbered assets that you found in your search that were held back from the transfer to Barclays, is that correct?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, no foundation. But go ahead.

A. Again, we came up with what we thought was the unencumbered collateral. We realize that had we had imperfect information and it may have been that there was additional collateral. It may have been that some of the collateral on the schedule was, in fact, encumbered and we were unaware of that. So it was a best efforts to come up with a schedule that reflected collateral that was not a part of the repo, as well as was unencumbered and available to be transferred to Barclays if that was what was agreed between the

Page 239

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL large bid/offer spread to transact. You know, financing haircuts are set based on sort of a market standard which establishes what the market believes they have to take possession of the collateral and sell off the collateral to generate cash, they will have to sell the collateral out at in order to realize the cash value that they have extended.

Can I take a short break?

- Q. Sure. (Recess)
- Q. So I also want to ask you some questions about the search for unencumbered assets. As I understand that, there was a long process of trying to find what unencumbered assets Lehman had that could be transferred to Barclays, do you recall that?
  - A. I do.
- O. Of all the unencumbered assets that Lehman located, did it give all of those to **Barclays?**
- A. I don't know the details of the transaction that was agreed between the parties.
  - Q. Are you aware of any unencumbered

Page 241

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL parties.

- Q. I just want to focus on what you know. You were part of a search where you searched for any collateral that was unencumbered that could be transferred to Barclays. You were part of that search effort, right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And all of the unencumbered assets that you were able to locate that could be transferred, you communicated that to people at Lehman as assets that could be transferred to Barclays, right?
- A. Yeah, that schedule was made available to people, that schedule was made available.
- Q. And do you personally know of any of those assets that you found that were held back from the transfer?
- A. Again, we had a schedule of assets that we believed was, were unencumbered and those assets were identified and included on a schedule and it summed around a billion, nine of value.
- Q. You personally don't know of any assets that were determined to be unencumbered that were held back from the agreement with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21!

22

23

24

25

Page 242

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### Barclays, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I'm not aware at this point of any collateral that was not included in that schedule that we have talked about.

Q. And that schedule refers to the schedule of unencumbered assets that -- well, maybe I should ask, what schedule are you referring to when you say that all of the unencumbered assets were included on the schedule?

A. That we were aware of at that time were included in the schedule of unencumbered assets, I don't know if it is schedule B that I think we have been referring to.

Q. So is it your understanding that all of the assets that you determined were unencumbered and could be transferred were included on a schedule that became schedule B to a contract with Barclays?

A. Again, I don't know that it became part of the contract, but yes, all the assets that we identified -- but again, I'll come back to make sure there was no confusion, there may well have been unencumbered collateral that we had not identified and determined that it specifically was

Page 243

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL unencumbered and we knew that there may well have been additional collateral that we were not able to determine at that point was unencumbered but there may have been. But what we had identified to the point that you have been pressing on was included in that schedule.

#### Q. When you were searching for unencumbered collateral, did you search everywhere you thought that unencumbered collateral might be?

A. Well, I think the search was broad, but I mean in particular, there was -- there is collateral in physical form that we were unsure whether it was unencumbered or whether it had been seized by one of the entities, so that in particular was collateral that we just did not know if it was unencumbered or not.

#### Q. But in your search that you did prior to the closing, you searched for everywhere that there might be collateral that would be unencumbered that could be transferred to Barclays, right?

A. Again, I keep saying the same thing which is we looked to determine what collateral we could determine was unencumbered. There may have

Page 244

Page 245

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL been collateral that actually was unencumbered but we were unable to determine it, and as a result, we would not have included it in that schedule.

The "we" I am talking about here is very loose. I wasn't actually involved in searching for pieces of collateral. I am merely referring to the broad effort that was going on involving operations and finance.

Q. The broad effort that involved operations and finance looked everywhere that it thought there might be unencumbered collateral and every collateral that it could determine really was unencumbered, you put on schedule B, right?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Two objections; no foundation, asked and answered. This really ought to be the last time.

A. Again, I -- I have just got to reiterate what I think I have said in the previous answers which is we looked broadly for all sources of unencumbered collateral. When we -- when people in operations and finance were able to determine to the best of their judgment that it was unencumbered, then it made it on to the schedule. There was an understanding that there

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL was some collateral which, upon additional work, might have been determined to be unencumbered and people knew and recognized that.

- Q. While you have been at Barclays, have you been involved at all in valuing the gain that Barclays had upon acquisition, the gain of what it received from Lehman?
  - A. I've not been involved in that.
- Q. You also testified earlier today that you were not yourself involved in documenting any of the changes to the contract that followed the court hearing, correct?
- A. I am sorry, can you be more specific what you mean by changing the contract?
- Q. Sure. I think it was your understanding that following the agreement that was presented to the court, there was some sort of documenting of that agreement?
- A. Yeah, there was a -- and we went through it as one of the e-mails, there was a documentation process that needed to take place to reflect the agreement that was discussed.
- Q. Do you know who was involved in that process?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 246

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**

- A. Who was involved in the process of documenting?
  - Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

h 6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I think the main law firm was Weil. Again, I don't know the specific people that were involved. I could speculate on who I think was involved.
- Q. First, do you know anyone at Lehman who was involved in documenting the deal following the court hearing?
- A. Yeah, I believe Steve Berkenfeld was involved, but I don't know with certainty because I didn't see him actually documenting things myself, but it would -- I would have expected Steve to have been involved in that effort.
  - Q. Anybody else?
- A. I can't -- there are no specific names that I could give you. Again, I could speculate who I think was involved.
- Q. Why don't you go ahead and speculate, but I understand you don't know for sure. But who are the people you personally might ask if you were trying to find out who documented the deal as it changed or documenting anything following the

Page 247

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL court hearing.

- A. Well, again, I think the people who negotiated the deal would have been involved. I think Steve, Steve Berkenfeld would have been involved. I think the senior lawyers from Weil would have been involved. I would guess that Alex Kirk may have been involved. But again, I'm basing that not on any specific knowledge, I'm basing that on my understanding of sort of the roles that different people played at Lehman.
- Q. On Sunday -- where were you Sunday, at Weil's office, on the Sunday, September 21st, the day before the closing?
- A. Yeah, I spent a lot of the day at Weil.
- O. Who else from Lehman was there at the office with you?
- A. Paolo Tonucci was there, Robert Azerad was there, Bart McDade was there. I think Alex Kirk was there, that's my recollection, and Steve Berkenfeld was there. I'm sure there were others. Those are the -- the listing includes those.

MS. TAGGART: OK, that is all the questions I have.

Page 248

Page 249

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL **EXAMINATION BY** MR. OXFORD:

O. Good afternoon, Mr. Lowitt. My name is Neil Oxford. I am with the law firm of Hughes, Hubbard & Reed. We represent the SIPA trustee.

Could you have in front of you Exhibit 219. If you could direct your attention to the part of the subject line in the last sentence where it says, "We did find 5 billion of exchange listed options which we are investigating."

I believe you testified that you, when you investigated, you found what that was already included as part of the business transaction.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Can you explain that a little further, which part of the business transaction was this 5 billion dollars a part of?
- A. Well, again, I don't have a recollection of the 5 billion specifically, so again, I have no recollection of where that came from. I do know that the exchange-listed sort of

options business was one that we came to understand was included in the deal, that that

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

business was being taken over by Barclays in its entirety and that was part of what had been agreed to on the, I guess, on the Tuesday.

#### Q. Did that business deal, to your understanding, sir, change at any point?

- A. Again, I wasn't part of the final -- I wasn't aware of how the whole thing was coming together in the final version. But given that it was included -- the business itself was included as part of the earlier transaction, the assumption that we were working with was it would be included in the remaining transaction and so we didn't continue to do any additional work on looking for value in the exchange-listed options.
- Q. Just so I am clear, the exchange-listed options you are e-mailing Mr. McDade about in Exhibit 219 don't form any part of the repo that we have been discussing today, correct?
- A. That's correct. That would have -the repo would have been the repo and then we were looking for value in items away from that. There

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 250

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL was a long list that was generated, including 15c3, the good faith lock-up, the TBA settlement, FX settlement, and there was obviously an item that somebody had identified as a potential source of value which was exchange-listed options and that was dropped from our investigation because our understanding was that it was already included in the transaction as a stand-alone business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

117

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

#### Q. And from whom did you gain that understanding, sir?

A. I don't have a precise recollection of who I would have got that from. I think that -- I mean to the best of my recollection, that was something that Paolo Tonucci had looked into and communicated, but I do know -- I do recall that we didn't continue to investigate exchange-listed options. Our focus was on the 15c3 and unencumbered collateral.

#### Q. Was it your understanding, sir, that Barclays was to step into the shoes of Lehman insofar as Lehman owned exchange-listed options?

A. Again, I didn't have a sense of what was agreed to between the parties with regard to this. What I do recall was that this was a

Page 251

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL business that was included in the transaction and didn't represent a place where we should investigate to determine additional sources of value. How precisely Barclays was going to engage

Q. Can you give me your definition of what you meant by exchange-listed options in Exhibit 219, please?

with regard to this business, I wasn't aware of.

A. Again, I --

#### Q. What kind of assets were covered in exchange-listed options as you used it in this document?

A. Again, I don't recall precisely -there was sort of a list that identified potential sources of value and exchange-listed options had made it to the list and then was removed from the list because it was already included in the deal. So that's what I would say around that.

If you are asking me the more general question away from this about what are exchange-listed options, they are, you know, derivative contracts that are listed on an exchange and sort of collateralized with that exchange.

Page 252

Page 253

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### O. In the context of the use of that phrase "exchange-listed options" in this document, sir, would that include short options as well as long options?

A. Again, in -- for the purposes of this, we were engaged in an exercise to identify additional sources of value and that, the investigation suggested that we would not look for value in exchange-listed options. So that was -we didn't do any work on exchange-listed options. So what actually made it into the transaction was what was agreed to between the parties and really we were just, we didn't pursue as a source of value which could be included in the transaction potentially.

#### Q. Again, I think I have got this, but just so I am clear, to the best of your knowledge, the exchange-listed options were never removed from the deal between Barclays and Lehman?

A. I'm not aware but -- I'm not aware that -- I'm not aware either way.

Q. OK. Do you have any knowledge as to whether the 5 billion dollars that's estimated here for exchange-listed options includes margin

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** and deposits at various exchanges?

A. Again, I -- I have no recollection of where the 5 billion came from or what it reflects. It was something that we looked at to determine if it was a potential source of value, as were others, and determined that it wasn't a place we needed to look because it was already included in the deal, was my recollection of why we didn't. We found, for example, in the TBA and FX settlements that there was no value in those items. So that was the investigation that we were doing on the Friday.

#### Q. Do you recall having any conversations at any time, sir, in the week from 15th of September until the closing on the 22nd with anybody other than Mr. Tonucci about the inclusion or otherwise of exchange-listed options in the transaction between Lehman and Barclays?

A. Again, I have no recollection of any conversations besides the one with Paolo.

Q. Are you able to testify any further about the details of your conversation with Mr. Tonucci?

Again, the only thing that I recall

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL was that this was not an item that we needed to continue to investigate because it was already included in the deal.  Q. OK. I am handing what you has previously been marked in these depositions as  LOWITT - HIGHLY Confidence Q. Are you familiar wit report that is attached to this A. I mean, I can't recall to through this report or one like Q. Reading the e-mail to	th the type of
2 was that this was not an item that we needed to 3 continue to investigate because it was already 4 included in the deal. 5 Q. OK. I am handing what you has 2 Q. Are you familiar wit 3 report that is attached to this 4 A. I mean, I can't recall e 5 through this report or one like	th the type of
3 continue to investigate because it was already 4 included in the deal. 5 Q. OK. I am handing what you has 3 report that is attached to this 4 A. I mean, I can't recall e 5 through this report or one like	3
4 included in the deal. 5 Q. OK. I am handing what you has 4 A. I mean, I can't recall end of through this report or one like	5 V-111411, 511 . [7]
5 Q. OK. I am handing what you has 5 through this report or one like	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
i ∨ protiously doom markou in those hopositions as { ∨ V. Reading the c-man i	F <sub>×</sub>
7 Exhibit 95B. If you could take a moment to review 7 sends to you and others, it sa	E C
8 that document, I am going to focus primarily on 8 provided the OCC statement	ts as of September 22 for
9 the e-mail, and let me know when you have had a 9 the LBI 074 account. The fir	_
10 chance to do that.	n (cash and
A. I've read through the e-mail trail. 11 government securities) in LB	3I. The second file
Q. Do you recognize this document, sir? 12 details approximately 2 billio	1/2
A. I don't have a recollection of this. 13 (letters of credit, cash and se	I.
Q. You see that it is an e-mail that was 14 Dan confirmed these balance	es with the OCC and can
sent to you by Francis Pearn on Sunday the 21st of 15 answer questions you may h	ave."
September. Do you see that? 16 Do you see that?	
A. I do see that, yes.	
Q. And the time here is reflected, and 18 Q. Would you agree with	th me that this
19 GMT, so it was sent to you at 4:03 p.m. eastern? 19 e-mail Mr. Pearn withdraw	wn.
20 Do you have any understanding of why Mr. Pearn 20 Would you agree with	h me that the
would send this document to you? 21 e-mail that Mr. Pearn sends	to you reflects that
A. I mean, I don't know specifically why	l value held by LBI
he would have. I was the CFO of the firm and 23 at the OCC?	
Frank was in the finance department, so that might 24 A. Well, it suggests there	e is a lot of
be a reason why he would have included me in it. 25 collateral at the OCC. My rec	ollection was at
Page 256	Page 257
1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY C	CONFIDENTIAL
2 some point during the week that because Lehman was 2 on this over that time period.	
3 no longer posting margin, that the OCC sold out 3 MR. OXFORD: OK, t	hat is all I have on
4 the Lehman position and would have would have 4 that document.	
5 been satisfied with whatever the obligations it 5 (Exhibit 228, e-mail da	ited 9/21/2008
6 had were and I don't know how that how much 6 at 8:09:34 p.m. marked for	r identification,
7 collateral was left after that. 7 as of this date.)	
8 Q. If you look at the first attachment, 8 A. Do you mind if we tal	ke a short break?
9 sir. Do you see in the middle of the page at the 9 Q. Sure.	
10 top it says "activity date"? 10 (Recess)	
11 A. I do. 11 Q. Mr. Lowitt, I would	like to hand you
Q. And that date is 9/22/08, correct? 12 what I have marked as Exhil	bit 228.
13 A. Yes.	
14 Q. And below, there is a system date and $14$ Q. Which is an e-mail f	ì
that date is 9/20/08? 15 to you, Mr. Kelly and Mr. O	
16 A. Yes. 16 V-I-X Statements," and on S	E,
f Q. Does that refresh your recollection as $f 17$ eastern in the afternoon. Wh	
18 to the collateral that LBI owned that was posted 18 moment to read through tha	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
at OCC as of the date of this e-mail? 19 going to ask you mostly abou	i i
20 A. Again, I I don't recall spending	ie know when you have
any time around the collateral at OCC on the 21 had a chance to do that?	
22 Sunday or on any of the other days. To say we 22 A. I have.	is do sum out sind
<b>1</b>	is document, sir!
	is document, str?

	Page 258		Page 259	1
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	17.00
2	forwarded to you, Mr. Michael Neilson writes to	2	was keeping he felt he should include me in a	
3	Mr. Francis Pearn and others: Here are the	3	mail because I was the CFO and he was reporting to	8
		4	me and it would be something that he felt I would	ľ
4 5	clearing house runs. Do you know what the	5	want to see.	
l.	clearing house runs are?	6		370
6	A. No, I don't.	7	Q. From your review of this e-mail, do	2
7	Q. Do you know what the reference to VIX	8	you have an understanding of what he was keeping you in the loop on?	2000
8	is in the subject line?  A. I mean, I don't know. The VIX is an	9	A. I mean, that Barclays was looking for	ľ
9		10	information and that this was part of the	ľ
10 11		10 11		ľ
	1 2	}	information and what they were looking for.	
12	<b>2333</b>	12	Q. Does this refresh your recollection about the inclusion or otherwise in the business	
13		13		
14		14	deal between Lehman and Barclays of	
15		15 16	exchange-traded derivatives?	ľ
16		16	A. Again, exchange-traded derivatives, I	
17		17	was I spent no time on it. It wasn't it	
18		18	just wasn't a focus either for me during that	200
19	- ····· - ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19	period.	
20	<b>6.</b> — American Control of the contr	20 21	Q. You don't recall any conversations	١
21		21	with Mr. Kelly about that subject?	ı
22	J	22	A. I don't recall any conversations with	
23	,	23	Martin about exchange-traded derivatives or about	8
24	Mr. Reilly sent you this e-mail?	24	this.	
25	A. I don't know why he did. I imagine he	25	Q. What about Mr. Reilly, same question,	
	Page 260	The second	Page 261	
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	ľ
2	did you have any conversations with him about the	2	A. Yeah, I have looked at it.	١
3	inclusion or otherwise in the assets going to	3	Q. It looks to me to be an e-mail from	
4	Barclays of exchange-traded derivatives or any	4	Robert Azerad to Gary Romain at Barclays, James	ı
5	assets to secure those derivatives?	5	Walker at Barclays, T.J. Gavenda, also at	
6	A. I have no recollection of discussions	6	Barclays, and you and others at Lehman are copied,	l
7	with either Jerry or Martin or Chris or any of the	/	is that correct?	
8	folks on this e-mail about that.	8	A. That's what it suggests on the first	-
9	MR. OXFORD: That is all I have on	9	page.	ı
10	this document.	10	Q. It was sent on the Sunday, 21st of	١
11	(Exhibit 229, e-mail dated 9/21/2008	11	September at 2:20 eastern. The subject is	
12	at 6:20:35 p.m. marked for identification,	12	"updated opening balance sheet." Do you see that?  A. I do.	
13	as of this date.)	13		
14	MR. BERNSTEIN: By the way, I	14	<ul><li>Q. Do you recognize this document?</li><li>A. I don't recognize it.</li></ul>	
15 16	actually I should do this I'm assuming	15 16		
16	with this and the prior document, which are	16 17	Q. Did you have any responsibility, direct or otherwise, for the creation of an	
17	not Bates stamped, that Counsel has a it	17 18	opening balance sheet for Barclays?	ı
18	is Counsel's understanding that these are,	3	•	
19	in fact, attached documents in the real	19	A. I am sorry, could you just repeat, did	ļ
20	world?	20	you have any responsibility	
	MD OXEODD, W. d. d.	01'	O Did you have any responsibility	
21 22	MR. OXFORD: Yes, that is my information.	21¦ 22	Q. Did you have any responsibility, direct or otherwise, for the creation of an	

24

25

Q. Mr. Lowitt, take your time and

had a chance to review Exhibit 229.

whenever you're ready, let me know when you have

23

opening balance sheet for Barclays?

ambiguous.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, vague and

Page 262

## LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL You may answer.

# Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have any responsibility for creating an open balance sheet for Barclays?

. 0

L 1

.2

. 5

. 6

. 7

A. I don't believe I had responsibility for creating an opening balance sheet for Barclays. I think we were -- we needed to provide information to Barclays so that they could go through their process and there was pieces of information that they would have looked to from us to provide, but that, you know, establishing an opening balance sheet was going to be their responsibility.

#### Q. OK, did Mr. Azerad work for you?

A. Robert reported in to Paolo and Paolo reported to me, so Robert was in my chain.

# Q. Do you have any understanding that Mr. Azerad created the opening balance sheet that is attached to this e-mail?

- A. Again, I'm not in a position to say who created this. I just have no knowledge of who would have done this.
- Q. Safe to say then you did not have any involvement in creating this opening balance

Page 264

#### Pag

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- Q. One of those additional sources of value that you have testified about at length is the 15c3 lock-up release, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- - A. That's what it is shown here.
- Q. You have already testified about a search for other unencumbered assets that you believe were valued at approximately 2 billion dollars, correct?
  - A. At 1.9 to 2 billion.
- Q. 1.9 to 2 billion. Do you see anywhere on this spreadsheet where those unencumbered assets balance at 1.9 to 2 billion dollars are reflected?
- A. I don't see it on this schedule. But again, I don't think that the schedule would necessarily have represented the transaction that was agreed to. Also -- another example, the 15c3 lock-up release we talked about earlier was agreed to at around 760 million dollars.
- Q. The 5 billion dollars of exchange-listed options that you included in the

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

sheet?

- A. I can't recall having any involvement in creating this.
- Q. You see that it was sent at 2:20 p.m. on Sunday the 21st?
  - A. I do see that.
- Q. If you take a moment to review the balance sheet and then tell me if, to the best of your recollection, it accurately reflects the business deal between Barclays and Lehman as you understood it at the time the e-mail was sent?

A. Again, I -- I didn't know all the items of what went into the business deals, so what I would have been aware of was that there was a repo transaction, that there was the assumption of liabilities for sort of bonuses or cure payment, although again, I didn't know that with any certainty because I didn't know that made it into the final transaction. And I know that we had been looking for, you know, additional sources of value but I can't say more than that or how that would have been reflected in a balance sheet or what Barclays would have wanted to show in their opening balance sheet.

Page 265

Page 263

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL subject line of Exhibit 219, do you see those exchange-listed options listed anywhere in the opening balance sheet marked as Exhibit 229?

A. I don't see it listed.

## Q. Do you have any understanding of why it would not be listed?

A. Again, I don't know who pulled this schedule together and I don't know the amount of knowledge they would have had about all the elements of the deal. And even if they had known about that item, I don't know if they would have had any knowledge of what that was actually worth, again, it wasn't something that I was aware of any work that went on to determine if there was or how much value there was in that and so just wouldn't have known how -- I don't know how anybody would have reflected it on the balance sheet.

# Q. Well, they could have used the 5 billion dollar figure that you told Mr. McDade that you had found in Exhibit 219, right?

A. We didn't say we had found it. We said in that exhibit that there was exchange-listed options. I don't know where the 5 billion came from, and I don't know if 5 billion

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 266

1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 was right. But you're right, somebody could have 3 put that there. But again, I don't know who put 4 this together and I don't know what they were 5 aware of and I, again, don't know what the real 6 valuation of exchange-listed options business was.

#### Q. Could you just briefly have Exhibit 19 in front of you, please?

And I wasn't aware of any work that was done to

A. Sure, 19. Do see anywhere on Exhibit 19 a description or a figure that includes the exchange-listed options that you referenced in your e-mail marked as Exhibit 219?

MR. HUME: Objection, vague and ambiguous.

A. Again, I don't know how exchange-listed options turn up on balance sheets. So I wouldn't know this. There is derivative lines here, it's possible that it is in those lines, but again, I'm just speculating. I don't know where it would have turned up here.

#### Q. OK. You testified earlier about a conversion, sir. Do you remember that testimony?

The conversion.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

determine that.

Page 268

party to the resolution of those -- of that. Q. With whom did you discuss that issue,

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

### sir?

A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody. There was a large forum that included participants from a number of different -representing Barclays and JP Morgan and DTCC and creditors and others and my recollection is that at a certain point, the people from Barclays and people from DTCC left that group and went through and talked through that issue themselves.

#### Q. Did you have any understanding, sir, that Barclays was conducting due diligence into Lehman's positions at DTC during the day on Sunday the 21st?

A. I'm not sure I understand what the word "due diligence" means, but I do know that Barclays were trying to understand what the Lehman obligations were vis-a-vis DTCC and what, if any, were the risks that they would take on if they stepped into LBI's shoes and that those were discussions that they had in my recollection with people in operations like Alastair Blackwell.

Q. Did you ever come to have an

Page 267

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### O. You used the word "conversion"?

A. Actually somebody else used the word "conversion," but I did say that I understood it to be the movement of collateral from JP Morgan Chase to BoNY to reflect the replacement of the Fed repo with the Barclays repo.

Q. And the way in which you used that phrase "conversion" has nothing to do with the OCC or exchange-traded derivatives, correct?

That is correct.

You mentioned, sir, that the subject of discussion on Sunday the 21st of September was a series of questions about what would happen if Barclays steps into the shoes of Lehman in the coming weeks. Do you remember that testimony?

A. I do, yes.

#### Q. Focusing on the DTCC for the present, did you tell me what your recollection is of any discussions about Barclays stepping into the shoes of Lehman at DTCC?

A. I was aware that on the Sunday, there were ongoing discussions between the Barclays representatives and representatives from DTCC around how that would be covered, but I was not

Page 269

#### **LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** understanding of what Barclays concluded about the risks they would take on if they stepped into Lehman's shoes at DTCC?

A. I was not part of that discussion and I did not know where Barclays came out or what their assessment of those risks were.

#### You didn't talk to Mr. Blackwell about Q. that?

A. I don't recall having a conversation with Mr. Blackwell about that. I do -- but I don't believe that Mr. Blackwell would have been aware of what Barclays' assessment of those risks would have been. He would have explained the risks as he understood them and it was up to Barclays to make an assessment of those risks themselves.

#### Q. Do you know who at Barclays was involved in assessing the risks of stepping into Lehman's positions in DTC?

A. Again, I wasn't party to those discussions, but of the people at Barclays that were involved, my recollection is that it included Rich Ricci, Gerard Larocca, probably Jonathan Hughes. There may be others at Barclays that were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 270

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL involved in those discussions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Did you ever hear, sir, that Barclays had decided not to take Lehman's positions at DTC because there were concerns about the liabilities associated with those positions?

MR. HUME: Objection, lacks

- A. Yeah, I have no recollection of how that got resolved.
- Q. Just a couple of questions on C3, sir. Did you understand that the transfer of any assets from Lehman's 15c3 reserve was conditional upon an approval of the SEC?

MR. HUME: Objection, calls for legal speculation -- legal conclusion.

- A. Again, I -- what I was aware of was that the resolution of the 15c3 excess was uncertain because it was a formula and nobody would know precisely how much excess there was when the customer positions were fully unwound. So there was uncertainty about what that was going to -- what that number was going to eventually
  - Q. Was it your understanding, sir, that

Page 271

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL the resolution of the 15c3 excess was that any transfer of 15c3 excess would be conditional upon the existence of an excess?

MR. HUME: Objection, lacks foundation, calls for a legal conclusion.

- A. I don't know what actually made it into the contract with regard to 15c3. What I was involved with, as I have spoken about today, is the efforts to identify what our best estimate of what that excess was and to make that available to those who are involved in negotiating to determine how they were going to treat that and I don't know how it was treated specifically in the final resolution between Barclays and Lehman.
- Q. Did you understand, sir, that there were certain difficulties negotiated with calculating the 15c3 requirement over the weekend of the 21st and 22nd of September?
- A. I was aware that the data that the people needed to -- the data were -- there were a lot of data issues associated with running the 15C lock-up that weekend.
- Q. Did you or anybody who reported to you come to the conclusion as to the existence or

Page 272

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL otherwise of an excess in the 15c3 reserve over the weekend of the 21st and the 20th of September?

A. Well, again, I -- we didn't -- my recollection was that the estimates of the 15c3 excess that had been generated earlier in the week were believed to be accurate reflections and that those were computed without all the dirty data that was associated with all the collateral movements and other issues in LBI, and so the excess that had been estimated earlier was a good reflection of what the excess was likely to be. Because there wasn't a lot of customer activity that had taken place subsequent to when those earlier calculations were made.

#### Q. What do you mean by "dirty data"?

- A. Well, there was a fair amount of chaos in the marketplace towards the end of that week. There was a lot of fails. There was items that weren't being posted accurately and those were all elements that made the computation of 15c3 difficult that weekend.
- Q. Did you ever come to have an understanding, Mr. Lowitt, that there was a change in the deal between Lehman and Barclays and that

Page 273

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL change was that no cash was to be transferred from Lehman to Barclays?

- A. Again, I was not part of the negotiating teams and I wasn't aware of what was agreed to between the two parties. So I would have no view on whether that was agreed to or not agreed to.
  - Q. Nobody ever told you that?
  - A. No, I don't recall.
- O. Even subsequent to the transaction, did anyone ever discuss the inclusion or otherwise of cash in the deal between Lehman and Barclays?

MR. HUME: Obviously excluding any conversations with counsel for Barclays or your own counsel.

#### Q. I offer the same caveat as Mr. Gaffey, I am not looking for --

A. I have no recollection of anybody indicating that the transfer of cash was not -was excluded from the deal in any way. It was just no discussion one way or the other with me that I recall.

MR. OXFORD: Can I mark this as my last one.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 274 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 (Exhibit 230, e-mail dated 9/22/2008 3 at 11:53:18 a.m. marked for identification, 4 as of this date.) 5 Q. This, I promise, Mr. Lowitt is my last 6 exhibit which I have marked as Exhibit 230. It is 7 an e-mail from you to Mr. Tonucci at 11:53 a.m. 8 Greenwich Mean Time so 7:53 Eastern on the 22nd. 9 And below, Mr. Tonucci writes to you and 10 Mr. McDade on the subject of closing call saying, 11 "Cash should go in the next five minutes. 12 Congratulations! Paolo." And you reply, "What a 13 relief!! Ian." Do you see that? 14 A. I do. 15 Q. Do you have any understanding of what 16 Mr. Tonucci meant by his reference to cash going 17 in the next five minutes? 18 A. I don't have a specific recollection. 19 Again, if I was speculating, it would be the cash 20 from Barclays to Lehman to effect the transaction 21 would move and that that was establishing that the transaction was actually closing. And my response 22 23 is it is actually done. Because I think we knew 24 that it needed to happen before 8 o'clock when the 25 markets opened.

Page 275

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- Q. You made it by 7 minutes.
- A. It was a stressful time.
- O. I'm sure it was.

MR. OXFORD: I have no further questions for you at this time. Thank you,

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. MR. GAFFEY: Just one or two to follow up, I promise.

**EXAMINATION BY** 

BY MR. GAFFEY:

Q. Mr. Lowitt, in response to --Ms. Taggart asked you a series of questions about the logic behind the discount behind the idea of a discount applying to a large transaction by a firm. You said, and I think I have the words here, I am if I am a little off forgive me, if anyone had spoke to Bart or Kirk, they would agree it made sense, but I don't recall a specific conversation. And that was in the context of talking about these bulk prices.

Do you know who on the Lehman side of the table decided that the point was negotiable at all? Who decided that it was negotiable

Page 276

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL whether Lehman would take less than the marks shown on its books for its securities?

A. I don't. It is a question for you to ask those people who were involved in the negotiations.

- Q. The reason I ask you, you made a reference to Bart or Kirk. I assume Bart is Bart McDade and Kirk is Alex Kirk?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O. Is there a reason Alex Kirk is a person who might have some information on that?
- A. Well, Alex was running our principal business and was involved in the -- was close to Bart and was engaged in the negotiation as best I understood it. So that was the reason I included those two names and they would both be very knowledgeable about capital markets and how one disposes of big blocks of assets.
- Q. And my question as to who made the decision as to whether it was appropriate to negotiate at all was a very broad one. It doesn't go to the process that you spoke about before of determining what it was. Who made the determination, all right, we will talk to Barclays

Page 277

#### LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL about selling assets for less than we carry them on our books and you don't know who it was who made that decision?

- A. I'm not aware of who would have made that decision.
- Q. OK, and Mr. Oxford asked you a question about the conversion which is a topic that I had raised with you earlier and unfortunately for you, it reminded me of a document that I promise you I won't be very long with it.

(Exhibit 231, document Bates stamped 10254271 (two pages) marked for identification, as of this date.)

- Q. Take a look at the document, Mr. Lowitt, sufficiently to determine if you remember seeing it before.
  - A. Yeah, I have read it.
- Q. Have you seen the document before today?
  - A. I don't recall seeing it before.
- Q. I would direct your attention to the -- again, the top two lines which we are disregarding, "administrator" and "sent," below

h 5

1 2

Page 278

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL that, the latest e-mail in the chain is from you to Alastair Blackwell, Robert Azerad entitled, "The Conversion," where you say, "Need people to work all night on it. Need to know we can get BarCap their money by Sunday night. We had a list

BarCap their money by Sunday night. We had a list we showed them. Can we not give them that collateral. Robert produced it. Ian." Would you take a look there?

A. Yeah.

LΟ

- Q. And then if you go to the very bottom, the very last e-mail, and that is from a Nancy Reyda to the ITD war room on the previous evening, Friday, September 19, at about 8:08 p.m.
  - A. I do see that.
- Q. And she writes to the war room, "As you all probably know by now, the asset move was orchestrated in such a way that the conversion move was not needed this weekend. We will regroup on Monday to discuss these next steps." Do you see that?
  - A. I do.
- Q. Having seen the two ends of this e-mail conversation where Nancy Reyda is telling the war room that the asset transfer was

Page 280

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL the reserve formula. The reserve formula would

be referring to the 15c3 lock-up and then my mail is really referring to that income collateral. So it seems to be a combination of a number of different things in this chain.

Q. And in the middle of that sequence is an e-mail from Alastair Blackwell to you and Gerard Larocca, September 27, 10 p.m., asking, "FYI, what do you want us to do here, use Thursday night or can it wait until tomorrow?"

Do you understand what is meant by use Thursday night?

A. Again, I'm basing that on what goes below that. But usually 15c3 lock-up calculation that was calculated on the Thursday night.

Q. OK.

A. Rather than having to rerun it and then to the questions that we had a little while ago about the need to rerun the 15c3 over the weekend, that was -- that was work that needed to get done in order to run that 15c3.

MR. GAFFEY: Thanks, I have nothing lse.

MR. HUME: I have I am sorry, to do

Page 279

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL orchestrated in such a way that we can go home, and you say no, everybody needs to work all night, does that refresh your recollection as to what the conversion was?

A. I think the conversion here is different than the conversion that I have been talking about. So the conversion that I was referring to, without the benefit of this was just the movement of assets from the JP Morgan to BoNY to effect the repo.

Again, I don't have a recollection of this, but what I think Nancy is sharing with people is that there is no requirement to move the assets in the way that was envisaged in the original transaction, which was moving large amount of specific assets and at specific prices to Barclays in one way or another. And then the -- my mail at the top, again, I am doing this by just reading through this -- is saying that the reserve formula requires recs.

R-E-C-S to be run and processed, reconciliations, and that what I am saying in this is that we actually need to, we need to work on those reconciliations so we can update

Page 281

LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

this. I have two things to quickly clean

up.

**EXAMINATION BY** 

MR. HUME:

- Q. Mr. Lowitt, if you look at Exhibit 229 that Mr. Oxford showed you. Do you have Exhibit 229 in front of you?
  - A. I do.
- Q. If you look at the spreadsheet attached or schedule attached, Mr. Oxford asks you first whether you saw the unencumbered collateral that has been talked about today of approximately 1.9 billion or 2 billion on this schedule. Do you remember him asking you that?
  - A Ido
- Q. I think you may have said that you did not see it on there. And I just wanted to ask you, you see that there is a cash number of 7 billion represented. Do you see that?
  - A. I do.
- Q. And then you see there is an inventory of a variety of classes of securities. Do you see that?
  - A. I do.

Page 283 Page 282 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 Q. And that inventory totaled 44.8 2 certainty if it is. 3 3 billion. Do you see that? Q. Mr. Oxford also asked you if you saw -- and here I just don't know whether the A. I do. 4 4 5 Q. Which if you add the inventory and the 5 question was clear. He asked you if you saw the 5 cash, you get to 51.8 billion. Do you see that? 6 billion of exchange-listed options which he took 6 7 7 as a phrase from another exhibit, Exhibit 219. Do A. I do. 8 you remember him asking you that? 8 Q. And do you recall saying earlier that 9 A. I do remember him asking me that. 9 your general recollection was that the repo 10 collateral had a value at least from Chase of 10 Q. And you said you did not see that on 11 this schedule, Exhibit 229. Do you recall giving about 49.7, I think, or 49.9 billion, something 11 12 12 like that. Do you recall that? that answer? 13 13 A. I do recall giving that answer. A. I do. Q. Is it possible -- first let me ask 14 Q. Now, do you see a listing of 5 billion <u>l</u>14 you, do you know -- did you prepare this schedule? 15 on the schedule? Let me ask the question this 15 16 A. I did not prepare this schedule. 116 way: Was your answer that you did not see the 5 17 Q. Do you know that the unencumbered 117 billion for exchange-listed options or that you do 18 assets, the approximately 1.9 billion or 2 billion 18 not believe it is possible that exchange-traded 19 19 is not included within the inventory? derivatives of any kind are listed on this A. I don't know that and as you show what 20 schedule? 20 the sum of the numbers are, at close to 52 billion 21 MS. TAGGART: Object to form. 21 22 A. I mean, I see under inventory a number 22 dollars, it is quite plausible that the 1.9 23 that says "derivatives and other contracts." 23 billion dollars of unencumbered collateral is Again, I didn't create this schedule 24 included in inventory for the purpose of this, but 24 2.5 again, I didn't prepare it and I don't know with 25 and I probably didn't spend enough time Page 285 Page 284 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 2 reviewing it. I was saying I didn't see a line 2 **EXAMINATION BY** 3 MR. OXFORD: 3 that referred explicitly to exchange-traded 4 options and I didn't see a 5 billion dollar Q. I have one question based on Mr. 4 5 5 Hume's question. You just said with respect to number. 6 Exhibit 229 that it was possible that the 6 Again, I don't know how the schedule spreadsheet attached to the e-mail included a line was created and it is possible that it includes 7 7 8 item for derivatives, is that correct? 8 an estimate for what the exchange-traded 9 A. Again, I see under "inventory 9 options are. I can't say one way or the other. 10 . 0 MR. HUME: I have no further derivatives and other contracts." ĥ1. O. What's the value of that entry, sir? . 1 questions. 12 A. It looks like 80. .2 I do want it state for the record that Q. And when you say 80, do you mean 80 .3 13 Exhibit 217, I have been informed -- and we million dollars, sir? 14 L 4 can address this off line -- I just want to 15 A. It doesn't say what the unit is, but . 5 state it on line, from our production given the other numbers, it seems that it would be 16 6 people, it is not an integrated document. 17 All these sheets marked together as Exhibit 80 million. . 7 18 Q. Well, you managed to answer my 8 217 are not a single document but multiple question about a thousand for C3 lock-up release documents. We will have to address it off 19 L 9 20 meant 1 billion dollars, right? 20 the record. A. Right. 21: 21 MR. GAFFEY: Sounds like a vendor 22 Q. And you managed to answer Mr. Hume's

23

24

25

billion?

A.

Yes.

22

23

24

25

issue.

question that figure of 7000 for cash is 7

	Page 286		Page 287
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	
1 2		2	
	Q. So it is fair to assume that the		equities and mortgages and corporate debt, commercial paper and derivatives and other, you
3	figure of 80 against the line items of derivatives	3	* *
4	and other contracts is 80 million dollars,	4	would imagine it would be in the derivatives line
5	correct?	5	(Continued on next page for jurat)
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Asked and answered.	6	
7	A. Yes, I did say it was 80 million	7	
8	dollars.	8	
9	Q. Do you see anywhere on Exhibit 229 an	9	
10	, , ,	10	
11	· ·	11	
12	o i	12	
13	exchange?	13	
14	MR. HUME: Objection, the document	14	
15	speaks for itself.	15	
16	e ,	16	
17	I don't know precisely what makes it into the	17	
18	different lines. The words "margin" or and	18	
19	"OCC," I don't see on the schedule.	19	
20	Q. And do you see any other entry under	20	
21		21	
22		22	
23	*	23	
24	-	24	
25		25	
	Page 288		Page 289
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
1		2	INDEX:
2	if it was anywhere.	3 4	WITNESS EXAM BY PAGE: I. Lowitt Mr. Gaffey 6, 276
3	MR. OXFORD: OK. Thank you, no	5	Ms. Taggart 216
4	further questions.	6 7	Mr. Oxford 248, 285 Mr. Hume 281
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Both Mr. Lowitt and	8	ENVIRONTO
6	Mr. Kelly reserve the right to read the	9 10	EXHIBITS Exhibit No Marked
7	deposition and provide errata. Depositions,	11	Exhibit 216 document Bates stamped 30
8	and provide errata.	12	BCI-EX77335 through 37 Exhibit 217 document Bates stamped 97
9	(Time noted: 5:51 p.m.)	13	BCI-EX00115595 through 654 Exhibit 218 document Bates stamped 42628 146
10		10	Exhibit 218 document Bates stamped 42628 146 Exhibit 219 document Bates stamped 138017 148
11	A STATE OF THE STA	14	Exhibit 221 document Bates stamped 137537 154 Exhibit 220 document Bates stamped 161
12	IAN LOWITT	15	10298186
13		16	Exhibit 222 e-mail dated 9/20/2008 at 173 1:42:32
14	Subscribed and sworn to		Exhibit 223 document Bates stamped 181
15	before me this day	17	10293506 Exhibit 224 four-page e-mail dated 184
16	of August, 2009.	18	9/20/2008 at 6:12 p.m.
17		19	Exhibit 225 two-page document Bates stamped 77882
18			Exhibit 226 e-mail dated September 21, 199
19		20	2008 at 2:15 p.m. Exhibit 227 two-page document Bates numbed 203
20		21	70327
21			Exhibit 228 e-mail dated 9/21/2008 at 257
		22	8:09:34 p.m. Exhibit 229 e-mail dated 9/21/2008 at 260
22 23 24 25		23	6:20.35 p.m.
ρ <sub>1</sub>		24	Exhibit 230 e-mail dated 9/22/2008 at 274 11:53:18 a.m.
<u>Ľ4</u>		_	Exhibit 231 document Bates stamped 277

	Page 290	-	Page 2	91
1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	LOWITT - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
2	LOWITT - HIGHLT CONFIDENTIAL	2	* * *ERRATA SHEET* * *	
3	CERTIFICATE	3	NAME OF CASE: In Re: Lehman Brothers, Inc. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 8/20/09	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK )	5	NAME OF WITNESS: Ian Lowitt	
5	)ss:	6	Reason codes:	
6	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)	7	To clarify the record.     To conform to the facts.	
7	I, MARY F. BOWMAN, a Registered	8	3. To correct transcription errors.	1
8	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime	9 10	December 1 to 1 t	
9	Reporter, and Notary Public within and for	ΤÜ	PageLineReasontoto	
10	the State of New York, do hereby certify:	11		
11	That IAN LOWITT, the witness whose	12	Page Line Reason           From to	
12	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was	13		
13	duly sworn by me and that such deposition is	14	Page Line Reason	ľ
14	a true record of the testimony given by such	15	From to	
15	witness.	16	Page Line Reason From to	
16 17	I further certify that I am not	17	From to	l
18	related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way	18	Page Line Reason	J
19	interested in the outcome of this matter.	***************************************	Page Line Reason From to	ľ
20	In witness whereof, I have hereunto	19 20	Page Line Reason	
21	set my hand this 20th day of August, 2009.		Page Line Reason           From to	
22		21 22	Page Line Reson	
23			Page Line Reason           From to	
	MARY F. BOWMAN, RPR, CRR	23		
24		24	IAN LOWITT	
25		25	A A P (VIV) AND A A STATE OF A ST	
		announce of the second		
		2000		
		***************************************		
		annamana annamana annamana annamana annamana annamana annamanana annamanana annamanana annamanana annamanana annamanana annamanana annamanana annamana an annamana an an an an an an an an an an an an		
		-		
		-		
		\$60000		l
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1		Westerman		
		800-co/90000		
		suorauma.		
		***************************************		